

Anne Cambon-Thomsen, MD, Emeritus Research director CNRS, RDA ambassador for health research and research ethics, Co-Chair of RDA SHARC IG and RDA-COVID-19 WG.

UMR 1027, Inserm, Université Toulouse, France, CERPOP (Center of epidemiology and research in population health); Team BIOETHICS.

Insights from the RDA Covid-19 Working Group Guidelines and Recommendations

https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19

RDA P17

SHARC session

Contact anne.cambon-thomsen@univ-tlse3.fr

Outline of presentation

- Introduction: 2 aims
 - The production of recommendations
 - The recognition of that work
- Context and characteristics of the RDA COVID-19 WG
- Methods, organisation and steps
- Outputs and other productions
- Recognising the contributions to the outputs of the group
- Discussion





BDA Community response

Call to action to create a fast track Working Group aimed at developing a system for data sharing in public health emergencies, specifically COVID-19

- Around 600 RDA members and newcomers registered for the different groups
- **165 active contributors** to the documents
- Experts in different fields as group moderators
- Regular calls and iterations
- Weekly webinars, requests for comments
- 5 releases produced (April June 2020)
- Final release 30 June 2020

RDA's guiding principles:

- ✓ Openness
- ✓ Consensus
- ✓ Balance
- ✓ Harmonization
- ✓ Community-driven
- ✓ Non-profit and technology-neutral



RDA

Working group co-chairs















Juan Bicarregui Anne Cambon-STFC Thomsen CNRS

Ingrid Dillo DANS

Natalie Harrower DRI

Sarah Jones DCC

Mark Leggott Research Data Canada

Priyanka Pillai University of Melbourne

https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19

2021

(1)

R

Virtu





Research Areas & Cross-cutting themes











COMMUNITY



INDIGENOUS DATA GUIDELINES



RESEARCH SOFTWARE



LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS



Outputs, support outputs, other outputs

- 145 page document with context, general guidelines and recommendations, specific (per sub-group)
- An executive summary
- Supporting outputs: RDA-COVID19-WG Zotero Library
 - o https://doi.org/10.15497/rda00051
 - The RDA-COVID19-WG Zotero Library was created to support development of the RDA-COVID19 Data Sharing Recommendations and Guidelines. It is an extensive, but not a comprehensive bibliography. The Library is publicly available on the Web at:
 - https://www.zotero.org/groups/2485086/rda-covid19_wg
- Other outputs: Epidemiology document (endorsed), articles (general and by sub-group), zotero library on COVID-vaccines, infographics, visualisation tools





- No one will generally need to explore the full document
- The need will be for a rather specific question or domain
- How to quickly find what you need?
- A « visualisation group » has produced 3 tools
 - An infographics
 - A mindmap
 - A wizard



Outline of presentation

- Introduction: 2 aims
 - The production of recommendations
 - The recognition of that work
- Context and characteristics of the RDA COVID-19 WG
- Methods, organisation and steps
- Outputs and other productions
- Recognising the contributions to the outputs of the group
- Discussion





- Internal discussion in the sub-groups
- Decision on policy for the group productions
 - Naming the people for the different roles (structural)
 - Alphabetical order, all active contributors, name + ORCID, no more subtle granularity (RDA traditional rule applied) – on demand/resp of moderators to check
 - Different from the names of group members on RDA site (those are more numerous)
 - List includes those who substantially contributed to the document through comments
- For articles no « one rule for all », depending on kind of articles (authors usually in alphabetical order except first author; contributions described)
- Other « recognition » by interviews, participation to sessions « about it » in various conferences





On recommendation production

- Importance of References library attached to document as an output
- Importance of differentiating guidelines and recommendations, target for those
- Gathering of standards used systems in a unique document, the usability of the document more important than « originality » of the recommendations themselves

On recognition of such a work

- Noticeable this has been discussed within the RDA COVID-19 WG
- Explicit simple rule, clearly described, not too much granularity
- DOI of the documents +++
- Dissemination strategy

