

Welcome to the RDA P17 SHARC session

DATA & RESOURCES SHARING ACTIVITIES: WHAT TO REWARD FIRST AND HOW?

SESSION CHAIRS:

LAURENCE MABILE, CERPOP, UNIV TOULOUSE, FR

ANNE CAMBON-THOMSEN, CERPOP, UNIV TOULOUSE, FR

ROMAIN DAVID, ERINHA, FR

Some practical information

Please

- Do open the collaborative session notes google doc: link available in the chat or in the programme session page (on top of the agenda):
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1tOKuc0WBwgVqzTUGffGY9pNIOLJGHmn-udhpmxqIKAs/edit>
- include your name in the attendees list
- keep muted during the session when not talking
- Questions are welcome during the webinar, please use the chat box; Specific moments will be dedicated to verbal discussion
- Session slides & notes will be made available in the SHARC group page (RDA website)


Introduction

The **SHARC** (SHARing Reward & Credit) **interest group**:

- SHARC was created in 2017 to foster a reflection on rewarding mechanisms to eventually provide some recommendations to various stakeholders
- As a first step, the group developed a FAIR assessment tool for datasets to enable either self assessment or evaluator assessment

in *FAIRness Literacy: The Achilles' Heel of Applying FAIR Principles*:

<https://datascience.codata.org/articles/10.5334/dsj-2020-032/>

- Now back to the general objectives to elaborate community-driven guidance towards such rewarding paths
- 

Session objectives & agenda

Objectives; discuss with you the current state of such rewarding paths & how to best think recommendations

Agenda: 2 parts

- **Part 1: Presentations**

Topic 1: acknowledgement mechanisms along career

Some thoughts from the RDA Covid-19 set of recommendations,

A. Cambon-Thomsen, Inserm-University of Toulouse.

Topic 2: data & resources sharing activities and evaluation scheme

First steps towards the inclusion of data sharing in the researchers' evaluation scheme at the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT).

Ugo Moschini, Valentina Pasquale, IIT

Knowledge Exchange Openness Profile: A reference model for the evaluation of open scholarship.

Fiona murphy, MoreBrains Cooperative, UK.

- **Part 2: Interactive discussion**

To get proposals from audience of ways / paths or rules to be efficient in implementing the topics of the session

Part 1- Presentations

Topic 1: acknowledgement mechanisms along career

Some insight into the RDA Covid-19 set of recommendations,
A. Cambon-Thomsen, Inserm-University of Toulouse.

Topic 2: data & resources sharing activities and evaluation scheme

First steps towards the inclusion of data sharing in the researchers' evaluation scheme at the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT). **Ugo Moschini, Valentina Pasquale, IIT**

Knowledge Exchange Openness Profile: A reference model for the evaluation of open scholarship. **Fiona murphy, MoreBrains Cooperative, UK.**



Part 1- Presentations

Topic 1: acknowledgement mechanisms along career

Some thoughts from the RDA Covid-19 set of recommendations,
A. Cambon-Thomsen, Inserm-University of Toulouse.

Topic 2: data & resources sharing activities and evaluation scheme

First steps towards the inclusion of data sharing in the researchers' evaluation scheme at the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT). **Ugo Moschini, IIT**

Knowledge Exchange Openness Profile: A reference model for the evaluation of open scholarship. **Fiona murphy, MoreBrains Cooperative, UK.**



Part 1- Presentations

Topic 1: acknowledgement mechanisms along career

Some thoughts from the RDA Covid-19 set of recommendations,
A. Cambon-Thomsen, Inserm-University of Toulouse.

Topic 2: data & resources sharing activities and evaluation scheme

First steps towards the inclusion of data sharing in the researchers' evaluation scheme at the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT). **Ugo Moschini, Valentina Pasquale, IIT**

Knowledge Exchange Openness Profile: A reference model for the evaluation of open scholarship. **Fiona murphy, MoreBrains Cooperative, UK.**



2- Interactive discussion

- To Identify some initiatives/tools that help rewarding either individuals or sharing practices (or FAIR related-);
- To identify some criteria for prioritisation of such actions

Please, you are invited to GO TO :

live.voxvote.com

PIN: 152892

3. What is the most urgent/obvious next step?

>> Starting to define recommendations targets and interactions?

for ex: starting action plan by stakeholders (scientists; funders; journals editors; data repositories managers; policy makers...)

You are invited to input in the session collaborative notes
/Googledoc



Session conclusion

- Any input from you at any moment by mail or on the session notes google doc
- Next steps:
If you wish to participate on recommendations preparation, please let us know **by mail or in the session notes (googledoc)**
1 organisational TC will be planned in May

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!!



POLL

Your country/region?



Your role?

*(eg, data manager, funder, publisher, student,
librarian, researcher, policy maker...)*



Are you aware of any initiatives/tools enabling to assess quantitatively or qualitatively open science practices ?

Describe briefly:

Are you aware of any initiatives/tools enabling to credit/valorize datasets that are FAIR/CARE (for ex. datasets reuse awards) ?

Describe briefly:



*Are you aware of any initiatives/tools
introducing FAIRification & sharing practises in
science training ?*

Describe briefly:



Are you aware of any other rewarding initiative, tool or path ?

Describe briefly:

Which criteria should be considered for prioritising such rewarding actions ?

number of people concerned y/n

ease of implementation y/n

effectiveness of the action y/n

any other suggestion?