

# RDA DMP Common Standards Call - 25.01.2019

## Participants

- Tomasz Miksa
- Angus Whyte, DCC
- Rob Hooft, DTL/ELIXIR-NL
- Karsten Kryger Hansen, Aalborg University
- Mari Elisa Kuusniemi, University of Helsinki
- Esther Plomp, TU Delft
- Simon Oblasser, TU Wien
- Christine Jacquemot, Inist-CNRS, France
- Jochen Klar, RDMO Project
- Paul Walk

## Notes

Feedback meeting Gabrona? Contradictory requirements: Not everyone requires connections between different parts of the DMP or require different licences.

### Model

A DMP can be linked to 0 or more projects. The project needs to have a title, grant ID, whether it is funded or not (feedback: what if multiple funders?). You can include project members and describe their roles as well as basic information (names, ORCID).

Costs box (blue); provide information on what are the costs related to the DMP and actions (repository, hiring costs).

You can create 1 instance for all the data or create multiple elements (source code, ), volume and format recorded (feedback: format should be a list of format because the data can have different formats over a lifecycle).

Location: can be understood as the place where the data is kept during AND after the project (feedback: include PID information and information on accessibility and optional information on availability, back up information)

Metadata: now only the standard description. Metadata field allows for addition of DOI's  
Technical devices: What technical infrastructure is needed to support the DMP? This is connected to data to know for what type of data it is needed.

Security and Privacy: not ma, only a description currently. It may be enough to just indicate whether the data is sensitive or not.

Data quality: requirement from Science Europe. Not ma and overlaps with the method section of DMP.

### **Questions/Feedback:**

Rob: data field does not include data generation timing. Is the data already there at the beginning or will it still be created? Very important to plan storage capacity. Tomasz: Can be included in the 'plan vs reality' box.

You can currently indicate if there are ethical issues and provide more information. This requires human check of flags raised.

Paul: have to be careful that the information in the model cannot be misinterpreted.

Data quality field could be broken down into more specific fields: any comments on this?

Currently no link between the DMP and the location of the data (only the repository, but not specific files).

Model/ontology in Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT)<sup>1</sup>? more appropriate for the location box and aligning terminology. Natalie: terminology should also be aligned with other ontologies: ISO19139 metadata content reserves location for "locations" ("parent" standard ISO 19115).

<https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20160014640.pdf>

Ted is a person very active in Nasa's implementation of ISO

<https://www.tedhabermann.com/services/>

Sensitivity of the DMP itself / restricted access to subsections of the model?

Three sessions on DMPs next RDA meeting: mADMP will provide a summary and define next steps.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-dcat/>