BOF on remaining PID challenges

— a Data Fabric IG "spin-off"

Peter Wittenburg, Maggie Hellström, Rebecca Grant & Carlo Zwölf for the PID Focus Area group of GEDE (Group of European Data Experts)

Topics for today

- Background
- PID basics
- Granularity and collection building
- When to assign PIDs
- Versioning and PID binding role
- PID Attributes and Semantic Categories
- Usage examples from China (Lisa Liu from CAS)
- Wrap up

Background

GEDE (Group of European Data Experts)

- is a group of ca 70 European data professionals representing research infrastructures, e-infra-structures and European co-chairs of RDA Groups
- aims to promote, foster and drive the discussions and consensus forming on creating guidelines, core components and concrete data fabric configuration building
- started in July 2016, and meets regularly (telcos & F2F)
- is organized around Focus Areas groups, starting with PID usage and gathering of PID assertions
- has a web site at https://rd-alliance.org/groups/gede-group-european-data-experts-rda

Some basics

PID: persistent (and unique) digital identifier

- Handle (handle.net) is widely used PID technology
- Handle PID: refix><delimeter><suffix>

 - <suffix> is locally unique
 - <delimiter> is "/" for Handles/DOIs
- Examples of Handle-type PIDs are DOIs issued by DataCite and ePIC PIDs from the European PID consortium
- PIDs become actionable when resolved by e.g. handle.net: https://hdl.handle.net/11304/a3d012ca-4e23-425e-9e2a-1e6a195b966f
- PIDs point to the location of the digital object or to a landing page

Granularity & choice of PID

- digital objects (DOs)* will be re-used and re-combined by others and we cannot predict how these objects will be used in a few years - this requires to give each scientifically meaningful object an identifier
- DOs are not just referenced within publications, but increasingly often we will need stable references for our data processing (workflows, etc.) to guarantee reproducibility
- there will be different strategies dependent on the discipline, the repositories storing data need to make their strategy clear
- there seems to be a trend that people start assigning Handles at high granularity and DOIs for citable collections (climate modelling, linguistics, etc.)

^{*} Digital objects can be data, documents, software, media files, ...

Collection building

- in some labs it is already common practice to create virtual collections which are just some metadata and a whole set of PIDs pointing to DOs; collections themselves get assigned a PID
- Collection approach could also be used to group output from search queries (compare recommendations of Dynamic Data Citation WG)
- The Data Collection WG is working on a data model and an API standard that can be used to register and manage collections

When to assign PIDs

- for some digital content it is obvious that they are subject to changes, therefore the question is raised when (small versus major changes) one should assign a new PID to a changed object
- in some communities people work on such DOs and carry out many **changes without "registering" a new version** so that it can be accessed etc.
- possibly the use of versionable databases in conjunction with assigning PIDs to queries - as already suggested by an RDA working group - can address this issue, but not all communities feel this is practical or implementable
- also in this case the repositories and/or communities need to indicate which policies they follow.

When to assign PIDs (cont'd)

- in some cases it may even be useful to assign PIDs before uploading content into a repository - however then problems may occur (what about relevance and accessibility of data on notebooks etc.)
- It may help to define the **term "repository" as something "simple":** a "repository" is an entity whose primary tasks are to provide services to access digital object content and essential state information, given an object's PID, and to enable reliable and trusted data management.

Versioning

- some repositories use an attribute in the PID record to refer to the **previous and/or subsequent version**; if these attributes are typed also **machines** can use the information
- other repositories use metadata records to include this information which is probably not as efficient as using the PID record

PID binding role

- it is obvious that we are increasingly dependent on PIDs thus we need to work towards a **stable system** that is well maintained, redundant etc.
- if we have such a system we can **use the PIDs to bind** various types of information (bit sequences, metadata of different types, landing pages, etc.)

PID Attributes

- it is about defining a **set of types**, but there is **no obligation** to use them all
- it is generally agreed that one should not overload the PID record
- some use fragment indicators they are not part of the PID

Semantic Categories

- there is a need for using Persistent Identifiers for referring to concepts and/or categories used in specific disciplines.
- it is not obvious **which kind of references** should be used to refer to semantic categories
- the semantic web community suggests to use cool URIs
- there are existing practices in the communities which need to be respected; in biodiversity quite a number of schemes are being used, but yet not in a systematic fashion - they are looking for an overarching schema to overcome fragmentation

Usage example

Jia Liu from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)

Wrap-up & future plans

- GEDE PID focus area group will have a F2F in June/July
- We wish to finalize our documents on
 - PID usage mapping
 - PID assertions collection
- Results will be presented to community, stakeholders, policy makers