

P13 activity SSC IG	Findable	Accessible	Interoperable	Reusable	?	comments
FAIR Software Source Code Answers from group activity	How to make software findable?	How to make Software accessible?	How to facilitate interoperability of Software?	How to facilitate Reuse?	What letter and property would you add?	
Group 1	<p>identifier- metadata</p> <p>software repositories need to think about long-term management</p> <p>institutional repository as backup</p> <p>software repositories integrator needed</p> <p>need to better understand how sw is applied- what does it do</p> <p>good documentation</p> <p>uses of tags instead of schema</p>	<p>same as data but the difference is that data is "stable"</p> <p>the possibility to access context (libraries, packages, etc)</p>			T- for Trust	
Group 2	<p>need to define which unit gets an identifier</p>		<p>need to figure out linked data</p>	<p>needs metadata that isn't available (authorship, dependencies)</p>		
Group 3	<p>algorithm</p> <p>control vocabulary</p> <p>taxonomy for licenses</p> <p>no new standard for metadata</p> <p>DOIs for software</p> <p>expand metadata on zenodo, specific for software metadata</p>	<p>incentives for software publication</p> <p>reward on making software accessible</p>				
Group 4	<p>describe software</p> <p>what metadata is needed to make sw findable: license, language, contributor</p> <p>what is software for</p> <p>level of software (package? component? apiece of large library?)</p> <p>qualify citation & identification</p>	<p>license</p> <p>be able to download</p> <p>container for software</p>	<p>applies to software metadata</p> <p>compatible between different versions</p> <p>need better platform for documentation</p>	<p>package dependency</p>	S- for Sustainable	
Group 5	<p>source code and metadata are assigned a globally unique and eternally persistent IDs</p> <p>metadata must have declared semantics and formal syntax</p> <p>source code and metadata are registered/indexed in a searchable resource</p> <p>the metadata must specify the source code identifier</p>	<p>make it available as a service</p> <p>replace `meta(data)` with source code and metadata</p> <p>is the metadata still important when there is no access to the software</p>	<p>metadata should include precise information on dependencies and other operating requirements</p> <p>use vocabularies adapted to software and that are FAIR</p> <p>including</p>	<p>Ideally licenses could be in rights expression languages</p>		Should there be a separate or dependent version of this for web services
Group 6	<p>Unique ID similar to arXiv (ASCL)</p> <p>metadata available for download</p> <p>PID & Metadata available to aid citation</p> <p>online entries- records</p> <p>metadata indexing from source (e.g repositories) to aggregators</p>	<p>active curated entries for software</p> <p>link back to software in third party repos</p>	<p>consistent application of standards</p> <p>commonly used file formats</p>	<p>good description of software</p> <p>detailed methodology needs to be available</p> <p>detailed documentation</p>	<p>S- for Sustainability</p> <p>P for Preservation</p>	
Group 7	<p>as a developer looking for a library (Pypi, Cran)</p> <p>libraries.io addresses the problem of finding libraries</p> <p>working bacj from research paper may lead to different version</p> <p>developpers feel that this is a solved problem, even if there may not be a persistent identifier or rich metadata</p>	<p>raise awareness in software development</p> <p>that persistent identifiers should be applied to software</p> <p>but with version when software is dynamic?</p> <p>raise awareness of need to periodically take a snapshot of the code</p> <p>and put it in an archival repository</p> <p>encourage citation of software in research papers to create need for above practice</p>	<p>continue to advocate for open AJs rather than closed system</p> <p>prefer open source software when doing published research</p> <p>easier to crack for OSS</p>			
Group 8	<p>F1- an identifier for each piece of software</p> <p>F2- data = source code</p> <p>source code is much more searchable because it's always text</p> <p>finadability very much depends on how you search</p> <p>will all text of sorce code be indexed?</p> <p>if so, metadata is less important</p> <p>metadata is largely embedded in the code as comments</p>	<p>A1 - yes</p> <p>A2- yes</p> <p>i.E these apply and someone needs to make it happen</p>	<p>How important is it that archived code 'work'?</p> <p>i.e can be re-run to produce same result</p> <p>If yes, the code must be archived in a 'docker' that contains the original software environment</p> <p>If no, than life is easier</p> <p>Yes- allows reproducibility</p> <p>No- still allows re-use (with modifications)</p>			<p>GUIX-> GNU</p> <p>NIX-> 2066</p>