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Executive Summary

Background

Data drives rapid response and informed decision making during public health emergencies. There is a need for timely and accurate collection, reporting and sharing of data with the research community, public health practitioners, clinicians and policy makers. Accurate and rapid availability of data will inform assessment of the severity, spread and impact of a pandemic to implement efficient and effective response strategies.

The availability of efficient information and communication technology has improved the global capacity to implement systems to share data during a pandemic. However, the harmonisation across these sophisticated yet diverse systems combined with the timeliness of accessing data across information systems are currently major roadblocks. The World Health Organisation’s (WHO) statement on data sharing during public health emergencies clearly summarises the need for timely sharing of preliminary results and research data. There is also strong support for recognising open research data as a key component of pandemic preparedness and response, evidenced by the 117 cross-sectoral signatories to the Wellcome Trust statement on 31st January 2020, and the further agreement by 30 leading publishers on immediate open access to COVID-19 publications and underlying data.

The RDA COVID-19 Working Group (CWG) members bring various expertise to develop a body of work that comprises how data from multiple disciplines inform response to a pandemic combined with guidelines and recommendations on data sharing under the present COVID-19 circumstances. The work has been divided into four research areas with four cross cutting themes, as a way to focus the conversations, and provide an initial set of guidelines in a tight timeframe. The detailed guidelines in this body of work is aimed to help stakeholders follow best practices to maximise the efficiency of their work, and to act as a blueprint for future emergencies. The recommendations in the document are aimed at helping policymakers and funders to maximise timely, quality data sharing and appropriate responses in such health emergencies.

The CWG is addressing the development of such detailed guidelines on the deposit of different data sources in any common data hub or platform. The guidelines aim at developing a system for data sharing in public health emergencies that supports scientific research and policy making, including an overarching framework, common tools and processes, and principles that can be embedded in research practice. The guidelines contained herein address general aspects that data should adhere to, for example the FAIR principles (that research outputs should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable), or the adoption of research domain community standards.

There are foundational overarching challenges and recommendations that appear across the four research sub-groups as well as the cross-cutting themes. These foundational elements are presented in the summary before the area-specific challenges, recommendations and guidelines are articulated.

Challenges

The unprecedented spread of the virus has prompted a rapid and massive research response, but to make the most of global research efforts, findings and data need to be shared equally rapidly, in a way that is useful and comprehensible. The challenge here is the trade-off between timeliness and precision. The speed of data collection and sharing needs to be balanced with accuracy, which takes time.
Lack of pre-approved data sharing agreements and archaic information systems hinder rapid detection of emerging threats and development of an evidence-based response. While the research and data are abundant, multi-faceted, and globally produced, there is no universally adopted system, or standard, for collecting, documenting, and disseminating COVID-19 research outputs. Furthermore, many outputs are not reusable by, or useful to, different communities if they have not been sufficiently documented and contextualised, or appropriately licensed.

Recommendations

Governments, research funders, and research or research-supporting institutions around the world must coordinate with one another, and support and promote Open Science through policy and investment to streamline the flow of data between local entities, and across international jurisdictions.

There are motivational barriers to making data outputs available rapidly. There is a need for incentivising the early publication/release of data outputs during a public health emergency. The early publication/release of data outputs should be encouraged by building trust, providing incentives for sharing data and providing appropriate governance.

Invest in state-of-the-art information technology (IT) and data management systems infrastructure. The investment should also be directed towards people and skills to fully utilise the potential of large scale infrastructure. The minimum required infrastructure for pandemic response in terms of technology, skills, people and frameworks should be accessible to all jurisdictions/sectors.

The consensus in this series of guidelines is that research outputs should align with the FAIR principles, meaning that data, software, models and other outputs should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable. A balance between achieving ‘perfectly’ FAIR outputs and timely sharing is necessary with the key goal of immediate and open sharing as a driver. Data management plans (DMPs) should be created early in the research process and updated regularly to prepare for data deposit and reuse.

The key to finding and using digital assets is metadata. COVID-19 research requires access to different assets for different communities. Within a given community, the commonly used metadata standards are well-known, but a researcher working across communities has more difficulty in locating relevant assets. In this case a ‘metadata element set’ that is generally applicable is required to be associated with each asset so that they can be used under the FAIR principles.

Research outputs need to be documented, which includes documentation of methodologies used to define and construct data, data cleaning, data imputation, data provenance and so on. The recent joint statement on the Duty to Document underlines how crucial it is, especially during this time of rapid and unprecedented decision making, to document decisions, and secure and preserve records and data for the future. To facilitate data quality control, timely sharing and sustained access, data should be deposited in data repositories. Whenever possible, these should be trustworthy data repositories (TDRs) that have been certified, subject to rigorous governance, and committed to longer-term preservation of their data holdings. By providing persistent identifiers, requiring preferred formats, rich metadata, etc., certified trustworthy repositories already guarantee a baseline FAIRness of and sustained access to the data, as well as citation.

Pre-print journals should undergo an expedited review process to balance the need to publish findings rapidly with the requirement to publish relevant and reliable findings. Full reports should be made available immediately upon communication of results, e.g. through a press release. Peer-reviewed data articles should be treated as first-class research outputs equal in value to traditional peer-reviewed articles. In order to
expedite reuse, data that could be used to advance research on pandemics should be given top priority in the data publication process, fast-tracked by repositories, institutions, and other data publishers.

The ethical and privacy considerations around participant and patient data are significant in this crisis, and several guidelines note the need to find a balance that takes into account individual, community and societal interests and benefits whilst addressing public health concerns and objectives. Access to individual participant data and trial documents should be as open as possible and as closed as necessary, to protect participant privacy and reduce the risk of data misuse.

Technical solutions that ensure anonymisation, encryption, privacy protection, and data de-identification will increase trust in data sharing. The implementation of legal frameworks that promote sharing of surveillance data across jurisdictions and sectors would be a key strategy to address legal challenges. Emergency data related legislations activated during a pandemic need to clearly outline data custodianship/ownership, publication rights and arrangements, consent models, and permissions around sharing data and exemptions.

The sub-groups and cross-cutting themes have each articulated the challenges facing researchers working on COVID-19, as well as recommendations/guidelines for improving data sharing (Table 1). These sub-group guidelines and recommendations should be considered directly depending on the relevant area of COVID-19 research as well as policy/decision making.

Table 1 - Summary of challenges, guidelines and recommendations from the sub-groups and cross-cutting themes of RDA COVID-19 Working Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-groups/cross cutting themes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Guidelines for researchers</th>
<th>Recommendations for funders/policy makers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Promotion of clinical data sharing is important due to many studies and trials being performed under enormous time pressure</td>
<td>Standardised clinical terminologies should be used and a fair balance achieved between timely data sharing and protecting privacy and confidentiality</td>
<td>Measures should be taken in order to organise the sharing of data and trial documents in a suitable, trustworthy and secure data repository</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omics</td>
<td>An increased need of rapid openness for omics data to gain early insights into molecular biology of the processes at cellular level</td>
<td>Omics research should be a collaborative effort to learn the genetic determinants of COVID-19 susceptibility, severity and outcomes</td>
<td>Promote use of domain-specific repositories to enable standardisation of terms and enforce metadata standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>Data and models are frequently incomplete, provisional, and subject to correction under changing conditions</td>
<td>Data models must include clinical data, disease milestones, indicators and reporting data, contact tracing and personal risk factors</td>
<td>Incentivise the publication of situational data, analytical models, scientific findings, and reports used in decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-groups/cross cutting themes</td>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>Guidelines for researchers</td>
<td>Recommendations for funders/policy makers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Sciences</strong></td>
<td>Need equal inclusion of social and economic issues with medical information to enable evidence-based decision making</td>
<td>Promote interoperable cross-disciplinary and cross-cultural data use and collaboration for managing social science data during pandemics</td>
<td>Robust funding streams for social science research for understanding and managing the behavioural, and economic aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community</strong></td>
<td>Need specific guidelines for enabling citizen scientists undertaking research to contribute to a common body of knowledge</td>
<td>Encourage public and patient involvement (PPI) throughout the data management lifecycle from research question to final data sharing and usage</td>
<td>Balance between timely testing and contact tracing, emergency response, community safety and individual privacy concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indigenous Data Guidelines</strong></td>
<td>Indigenous data rights, priorities and interests must be recognised in COVID-19 research activities</td>
<td>Co-determination of data collection, ownership, sharing and use priorities is the central principle of Indigenous data sovereignty</td>
<td>CARE Principles of Indigenous Data Governance set minimal guidance for collectors, users and stewards of data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal and Ethical Considerations</strong></td>
<td>Achieve a balance between rights of people and interests of researchers and policymakers</td>
<td>Ethical instruments should be interpreted with the law, and can guide the interpretation of the law if the law does not address a particular issue</td>
<td>During a pandemic, ethical review and approval for legally sharing data should be expedited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Software</strong></td>
<td>Need systems in place to for rapid dissemination of data and accelerated and reproducible research during a pandemic</td>
<td>It is critical for software that is used in data analysis to produce results that can, if necessary, be reproduced</td>
<td>Funders must allocate financial resources to support the development and maintenance of new research software</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Objectives and Use of This Document

During a pandemic, data combined with the right context and meaning can be transformed into knowledge for informing public health responses. Timely and accurate collection, reporting and sharing of data with the research community, public health practitioners, clinicians and policy makers will inform assessment of the likely impact of a pandemic to implement efficient and effective response strategies.

Public health emergencies clearly demonstrate the challenges associated with rapid collection, sharing and dissemination of data and research findings to inform response. There is global capacity to implement systems to share data during a pandemic, yet the timeliness of accessing data and harmonisation across information systems are currently major roadblocks. The World Health Organisation’s (WHO) statement on data sharing during public health emergencies clearly summarises the need for timely sharing of preliminary results and research data. There is also strong support for recognising open research data as a key component of pandemic preparedness and response, evidenced by the 117 cross-sectoral signatories to the Wellcome Trust statement on 31st January 2020, and the further agreement by 30 leading publishers on immediate open access to COVID-19 publications and underlying data.

The objectives of the RDA COVID-19 Working Group (CWG) are:

1. to clearly define detailed guidelines on data sharing under the present COVID-19 circumstances to help stakeholders follow best practices to maximise the efficiency of their work, and to act as a blueprint for future emergencies;
2. to develop recommendations for policymakers to maximise timely, quality data sharing and appropriate responses in such health emergencies;
3. to address the interests of researchers, policy makers, funders, publishers, and providers of data sharing infrastructures.

It is important to note that in this document the terms guidelines and recommendations are distinguished as follows. A guideline provides detailed advice pertaining to the practice of research data sharing. As a consequence, guidelines are aimed at researchers and data stewards. A recommendation provides higher level and more generic advice. As a consequence, recommendations are aimed at other important stakeholder groups such as policymakers, funders, publishers and infrastructure providers.
The CWG is addressing the development of detailed guidelines on the deposit of different data sources in any common data hub or platform. The guidelines aim at developing a system for data sharing in public health emergencies that supports scientific research and policy making, including an overarching framework, common tools and processes, and principles that can be embedded in research practice. The guidelines contained herein address general aspects that data should adhere to, for example the FAIR principles (that research outputs should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable), or the adoption of research domain community standards. At the same time, they also provide a tool which could help researchers and data stewards to determine the standards for what is ‘good enough’ when there is significant value to sharing research outputs as quickly as possible.

These detailed guidelines are supplemented with higher level recommendations aimed at the other stakeholder groups who need to work together with the researchers and data stewards to realise the timely and open sharing of research data as a key component of pandemic preparedness and response.

The work has been divided into four research areas with four cross-cutting themes, as a way to focus the conversations, and provide an initial set of guidelines in a tight timeframe.

The RDA COVID-19 WG was initiated after a conversation between the RDA and the European Commission. The first meeting of the CWG to determine the work was held in March. As of May, the CWG counted over 440 members, evenly spread across the different sub-groups. This effort also reflects the work of a host of other RDA Working Groups, as well as external stakeholder organisations, that has developed over a number of years.
The CWG and the sub-groups operate according to the RDA guiding principles of Openness, Consensus, Balance, Harmonisation, Community-driven, Non-profit and technology-neutral and are open to all.

This document starts in Section 2 with an overview of foundational, overarching elements that emerged across the different research areas. These recommendations touch upon a number of well-known topics in research data sharing. In Sections 3 to 6 the focus is on the COVID-19 related research areas. Each section starts with a description of the area and the focus and scope of the work done, followed by the actual recommendations and guidelines. In sections 7 to 10 this same structure is used for the four cross-cutting themes. The document contains an extended glossary of terms to support the reader (Section 11), an overview of useful additional resources (Section 12) and a list of references (Section 13). Section 14 lists the contributors to this work.
2. Foundational Elements

For the different research areas, challenges facing researchers working on COVID-19 have been articulated, as well as recommendations and guidelines for improving data sharing. These recommendations and guidelines should be considered directly depending on the relevant area of COVID-19 research. However, certain foundational aspects appear across the research areas. These are presented here as foundational elements.

2.1 Challenges

The availability of open research data is a key component of pandemic preparedness and response. The timeliness of accessing data and the harmonisation across information systems are currently major roadblocks.

**Critical Need for Data Sharing**

The unprecedented spread of the virus has prompted a rapid and massive research response. To make the most of global research efforts, findings and data need to be shared equally rapidly, in a way that is useful and comprehensible. Raw data, algorithms, workflows, models, software and so on are required inputs to research studies, and are essential to the scientific discovery process itself. New findings and understandings need to be disseminated and built upon at a pace that is faster than usual; due to decisions being taken by healthcare practitioners and governments on a daily basis, it is crucial that they are well-informed.

The rapid pace of the disease and the immense and rapid mobilisation of resources could create an environment for inaccurate or low-quality data, which could have considerable implications. Shortcuts with the interpretation of data can, for example, create issues such as the early debate on the severity, transmissibility and global spread of COVID-19.

The obligation to share data could orient at least some institutions to reduce testing (only confirmed, not suspected, cases “count” and hence reducing testing allows for a lower number of confirmed cases, creating the illusion that the epidemic is under control).

And in some cases, a lack of transparency and publication of false or unchecked numbers is perhaps worse than no publication at all.

The COVID-19 pandemic has revealed how interconnected we are globally, and how interdependent we are in terms of research, public health and economy. Data in relation to this pandemic is being collected and created at a high velocity, and it is critical that we can share this data across cultural, sectorial, jurisdictional, and disciplinary boundaries.

The challenge here is the trade-off between timeliness and precision. The speed of data collection and sharing needs to be balanced with accuracy, which takes time. The pressure to interpret results, turn studies around quickly and update statistics in almost real-time must not compromise quality and reliability. There is no overarching formula for finding that balance, but documented transparency in the research process and decisions taken can help to mitigate the dangers associated with working at hyperspeed.

**Lack of Harmonised Standards and Context**

Emerging infections are largely unpredictable in nature and there are limited data to support disease investigation. The evidence base generated from early outbreak data is critical to inform rapid response
during an emerging pandemic. Lack of pre-approved data sharing agreements and archaic information systems hinder rapid detection of emerging threats and development of an evidence-based response.

While the research and data are abundant, multi-faceted, and globally produced, there is no universally adopted system, or standard, for collecting, documenting and disseminating COVID-19 research outputs. Furthermore, many outputs are not reusable by, or useful to, different communities if they have not been sufficiently documented and contextualised, or appropriately licensed. There is an urgent need for data to be shared with minimal contextual information and harmonised metadata so that they can be reused and built upon (see the OECD Open Science Policy Brief).

2.2 Recommendations

2.2.1 Coordinated, cross-jurisdictional efforts to foster global Open Science

The COVID-19 pandemic has had, in a very short time, an unprecedented global impact on health, economies, and daily life. It has underlined the importance of open science practices, and demonstrated clearly how different jurisdictions require the policies and support to collaborate with and build research efforts across political, geographical, and disciplinary boundaries. In addition to sharing the response effort, effective cross-national comparisons can provide useful insights for the development of future global emergency preparedness programmes.

Governments, research funders, and research or research-supporting institutions around the world must coordinate with one another, support and promote Open Science through policy and investment to streamline the flow of data between local entities, and across international jurisdictions. Systemic investment in and support for Open Science must be developed rapidly and sustainably to face both our current pandemic and future public health emergencies.

Coordination includes such efforts as urgently updating data sharing policies and Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) across all domains in government, healthcare systems, and research institutions to support Open Data, Open Science, scientific data modernisation, and linked data life cycles that will enable rapid and credible scientific discovery, and fast-track decision-making. International organisations and alliances such as the World Health Organisation, the OECD, the International Science Council, UNESCO and the Research Data Alliance, to name a few, provide avenues for this coordination. We also call on the international Open Government Partnership (OGP) to add “Open Science” as one of its Policy Areas (OGP, 2020a).

Similarly, governments, funders and policy makers should engage with big technology companies, mobile network operators, social network companies and others in the private sector who hold data that can better help understand the pandemic and population behaviour. Data sharing policies should be adopted to encourage and facilitate data flows from data holders to the research community with the goal of protecting citizens’ rights and health (de Pedraza et al., 2019; Askitas, 2018).

There is a need for incentivising the early publication/release of data outputs during a public health emergency, because there are motivational barriers to making data outputs available rapidly and before primary papers have been written or published. Data publication should be encouraged by building trust, and providing incentives and credit for preparing and sharing data and providing appropriate governance. It is important to foster collaboration under agreements that clearly describe how the data will be used (e.g. only for early investigation of pandemic, surveillance or research and not for publication without consent and/or credit), with whom the data will be shared, and the value of sharing data for informing response during an
emergency. Initiatives to support rewards and credits for data sharing should be strengthened. See RDA Sharing Rewards and Credit (SHARC) IG (Research Data Alliance) and FORCE 11 “Joint declaration of data citation principles” (Data Citation Synthesis Group, 2014).

Research institutions and funding agencies can incentivise data stewardship and data sharing by creating structures for researchers to get credit for this work, and providing support for publishing data and software as valid research outputs. This can include developing research assessment systems that reward data and software outputs, alongside publications and other research objects. Policymakers should put guidelines into place that give researchers ease of mind when licensing/sharing their data. And finally, from a funding agency perspective, it is recommended that increased weighting is given in the grant review process to researchers who demonstrate best practice in open data and data reproducibility with respect to their research outputs.

2.2.2 Infrastructure Investment & Economies of Scale

Support for Open Science requires investment in infrastructure so governments, funders and institutions should therefore fund state-of-the-art information technology (IT) and data management infrastructure, which includes hardware, networks, and the algorithms or software used to store, retrieve and process data. Investment should also be directed towards the human resources required to maintain the infrastructure, and the training and support required to fully utilise the potential of large-scale infrastructure.

In general, new infrastructure should harness the value of existing infrastructure, building from what is already working well. Economies of scale should be considered when planning institutional, disciplinary, sector-wide, or regional/national infrastructure to reduce overlap, encourage collaboration, and maximise return on investment. In the case of limited resource settings, the use of existing data management infrastructure should be leveraged to prioritise and support pandemic research response.

Research institutions should provide researchers with robust and secure data storage facilities that can provide tiered access to restricted data by appropriately credentialed users and machines. Data storage policies should follow recommendations regarding regular backup in multiple locations and data protection. There should be priority access to resources for researchers/practitioners working on response during a public health emergency.

The investment in infrastructure, analytical skills and resources for data management should be done in an equitable manner. Some jurisdictions/sectors making significant contributions towards the evidence base for pandemic response may not have access to state-of-the art information technology and resources. The minimum required infrastructure for pandemic response in terms of technology, skills, people and frameworks should be accessible to all jurisdictions/sectors.

2.2.3 FAIR and Timely

The consensus in this series of guidelines and recommendations is that research outputs should align with the FAIR principles, meaning that data, software, models and other outputs should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable. The FAIR principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016) address a primary concern that has led to the formation of the group writing these guidelines: availability and reusability of research data on COVID-19 in order to prevent unnecessary duplication of work. Many of the specific guidelines in this document address what can be done to make the data as FAIR as possible with a reasonable time investment.

However, there is also consensus that outputs need to be shared as quickly as possible in order to have a direct impact on the progress of the pandemic. A balance between achieving ‘perfectly’ FAIR outputs and
timely sharing is necessary, with the key goal of immediate and open sharing as a driver. Researchers should be paired with data stewards to facilitate FAIR sharing, and data management should be considered at the start of a study or trial.

Researchers should also be encouraged to share what they have as-is without fear of it being insufficient, and signal that help is needed. The reusability of data can be increased with consistent preprocessing: to increase the availability of data ready for analysis and integration, it may be prudent to agree on a consistent approach to preprocessing data. This would be a second-phase step that should not unnecessarily slow down researchers collecting data.

In the COVID-19 situation access to data should be as open as possible. This does not necessarily mean completely open access, as data also need to be as closed as necessary, but measures to control and manage risk (anonymisation, aggregation, data use agreements) can be used to make access as easy as possible, while adequately protective. If a Data Access Board or a similar third-party mechanism is involved in decisions about data sharing, there is a need for a transparent and fast track process. Immediate access with licences that are as open as possible is desirable, but effort should be put into the quality and documentation of the dataset.

Finally, it is important to note that a lot of data that are very relevant to the pandemic are kept exclusively on websites and are therefore extremely fragile. Such websites should be web archived systematically (and permit doing so by way of their robots.txt), so as to ensure persistent availability of the information and to facilitate retrospective analyses. Preference should be given to public web archives that are created and stored by independent archival organisations.

### 2.2.4 Data Management Planning

Sharing data in a FAIR and timely way requires planning for data management early in the process of any research undertaking. As funding agencies make use of rapid funding mechanisms (e.g., administrative supplements, fast-track projects), it should not be at the expense of requiring Data Management Plans and ensuring data are sharable.

Researchers should create a Data Management Plan (DMP) at the beginning of the research process so that it can be included in the work plan and the budget. The DMP is a “living” document, which may change over the course of a project, and it should be updated regularly to ensure data are managed throughout the research lifecycle. Projects already underway that might contribute data to address COVID-19 should update their DMPs to ensure alignment with current recommendations.

DMPs plan for how data will be created or reused, how they will be documented (metadata and methodology) and quality controlled, how they will be stored during use (and any issues around the handling of sensitive data, legal and ethical issues), how and where the data will be shared and preserved, and identify the human and financial resources required for data management activities.

Researchers should contact, where possible, institutional support services (e.g., library staff), the repository of their choice, or other research infrastructure providers which may offer guidelines for the DMPs in advance of deposit. Working with a dedicated data steward can significantly affect the maturity of this process, and funders and institutions should be encouraged to provide support and recognition for data stewardship roles and contributions.

All parties with responsibility for activities across the research lifecycle - not just the researcher - have a part to play in ensuring good quality data that are safeguarded so they can be located, understood, and effectively used and reused. Roles and responsibilities should be considered early (ideally at the data planning phase),
and be clearly defined and documented in the DMP. A common understanding of how data will be managed is particularly important in collaborative projects that involve many researchers, institutions and groups with different ways of working.

2.2.5 Metadata

The key to finding and using digital assets is metadata. Several of the FAIR principles also call for rich metadata. COVID-19 research requires access to different assets for different communities. Within a given community, the commonly used metadata standards are well-known, but a researcher working across communities has more difficulty in locating relevant assets.

In this case, a ‘metadata element set’ that is generally applicable, is required to be associated with each asset so that they can be used under the FAIR principles. A proposed metadata element set is available on the RDA Metadata Interest Group page. At present there are four generic metadata standards that are used widely, Dublin Core (DC), Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT), DataCite and Schema.org. The latter has a specialisation called Bioschemas which provides a way to add semantic markup to web pages for improved findability of data in the life sciences, and is currently updating profiles to aid in discovery of COVID-19 data.

Providing FAIR access to assets would be much enhanced if assets had metadata encoded in one of these standards – as well as in the metadata standard(s) used by the particular community. It is to be hoped that in the future, richer generic metadata standards will be used. For a longer registry of metadata standards, see the Metadata Standards Catalog or the RDA-endorsed FAIRsharing (in the ‘Standards’ section).

Especially where data about human subjects is concerned, it is not always possible to share such metadata in an open catalogue. Specifics can be found in guidelines for the individual data types as well as in the section on legal and ethical considerations.

Providers of data sharing infrastructures should perform validation that data complies to recommended metadata/annotation standards in order to help researchers making their data as FAIR as possible.

The use of these standards for machine-to-machine communication depends on how they are implemented. Many DC implementations are in text, HTML or XML form and used more easily by human readability than machine understandability. More recent implementations use Resource Description Framework (RDF) which does provide machine-to-machine capability. Earlier DCAT implementations used XML, more recent implementations use RDF. DataCite uses XML but also schema.org metadata format and JSON-LD, while Schema.org uses RDF and JSON-LD. Thus, these metadata standards encourage machine-to-machine interoperability.

Metadata has two aspects: syntax and semantics. The syntax defines the structure of the metadata information and should conform to a formal grammar. The semantics defines the meaning of strings of characters – usually through an associated ontology – and should be declared. Again, there are generic ontologies (or vocabularies which have less detail on relationships between the terms) and community-specific ontologies (or vocabularies).

Critical in the current situation is to have datasets easily findable. Resolvable persistent identifiers like Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs), e.g. linking to a repository or network of repositories, would play a large part in making the data available. Persistent identifiers for primary data sources should be included as a rule in secondary analyses to recognise primary data providers, and this should be requested by publishers and editors.
2.2.6 Documentation

Research outputs need to be well documented, which includes documenting the following: research context, methodologies used to define, construct, and compile data, data cleaning and quality checks, data imputation, data provenance and so on.

When sharing datasets, other relevant outputs (or documents) should also be made available, such as codebooks, lab journals, or informed consent form templates, so that data can be understood and potentially linked with other data sources. Reusability of data requires documented provenance: when sharing any secondary data, the generation of which involves comparison against other resources, both the public availability of these used resources and unambiguous referencing of the used resources, including version numbers, should be ensured. It is also useful to document the computing time and resources required for data processing. This could help other researchers to assess the resources required for the computation, and help them to decide whether it is feasible to proceed with the local resources available.

Software should provide documentation that describes at least the libraries, algorithms, assumptions and parameters used.

The recent joint statement on the Duty to Document underlines how crucial it is, especially during this time of rapid and unprecedented decision making, to document decisions, and secure and preserve records and data for the future (International Council on Archives et al., 2020).

2.2.7 Use of Trustworthy Data Repositories

To facilitate data quality control, timely sharing and sustained access, data should be deposited in data repositories. Whenever possible, these should be trustworthy data repositories (TDRs) that have been certified, subject to rigorous governance, and committed to longer-term preservation of their data holdings.

Examples of such certifications are CoreTrustSeal (CoreTrustSeal), nestor Seal for Trustworthy Digital Archives (nestor) and ISO 16363 (PTAB). Repositories certified by CoreTrustSeal, a result of the RDA Repository Audit and Certification DSA–WDS Partnership WG are listed here. The underlying community-based TRUST principles (Lin et al., 2020) should also be considered.

As the first choice, widely used disciplinary repositories are recommended for maximum accessibility and assessability of the data, as well as repositories that are part of research infrastructures (e.g. CESSDA, ELIXIR, and others), as this also ensures maximum cross-border visibility. These are followed by general or institutional repositories. Using existing open repositories is better than starting new resources.

Making data available in existing and certified repositories will increase the FAIRness of the data. Trustworthy data repositories provide key metadata associated with its datasets, optimally utilising a metadata standard that allows for interoperability. They also employ tools such as persistent identifiers for discovering and citing the data, as well as mechanisms for linking data and other research objects. The re3data.org and the RDA-endorsed FAIRsharing registries can be consulted to find an appropriate repository.

Finally, it is important that policymakers, funders and publishers also promote the use of trustworthy data repositories in their national and institutional policies, calls and data availability policies.
2.2.8 Publications / Data Publications

Rapid publication, i.e. via pre-print repositories or before peer review is possible, along with other forms of knowledge sharing and exchange should be encouraged. Similarly, journals should undergo an expedited review process for pandemic related research. There remains of course the need to balance the rapid dissemination of findings with the dissemination of reliable findings. Full reports should be made available immediately upon communication of results, e.g. through a press release.

Research funders and policy makers should implement a “data first” publication policy by encouraging the publication of data articles in “open” peer-reviewed data journals, or mandating and supporting the deposit of data and associated code in a trustworthy data repository in tandem with the publication of articles. Curated datasets and peer-reviewed data articles should be treated as first-class research outputs equal in value to traditional peer-reviewed articles.

Funders need to make sure that calls for projects clearly state that for COVID-19 data “timely” publication means “as soon as possible after it has been collected” and not “as soon as the publication has been accepted by the journal”. Publishers need to require publishing of data underlying a study, in an even more timely manner than usual. Publishers need to make sure that the author recommendations prefer publishing of data in trustworthy domain-specific repositories where findability is better than in generic or institutional repositories.
3. Data Sharing in Clinical Medicine

3.1 Focus and Description

Health care measures and clinical research are at the forefront of combating the COVID-19 pandemic. Promotion of clinical data sharing is of utmost importance because many studies and trials are performed under enormous time pressure, with weaknesses in the methodology (e.g. no control) and preliminary results published without any review. Sharing of data, and related documentation (e.g. protocols) will reduce duplication of effort and improve trial design, when many similar studies are being planned or implemented in different countries (Sharing and re-use of individual participant data from clinical trials: principles and recommendations, BMJ Open 2017). Clinical data outside clinical trials (e.g., case studies, descriptive cohorts of patients, etc.) may also be of high value and should be reported.

3.2 Scope

The work highlighted in the Clinical section centres on obtaining consent to address future use of data, conducting clinical trials, sharing the different types of clinical information (personal and health data), and ensuring that results are shared and reused in a trustworthy and efficient manner.

3.3 Policy Recommendations

3.3.1 Trustworthy Sources of Clinical Data

During a pandemic like COVID-19, it is important to concentrate efforts on scrutinising reliable data sources that provide data and metadata of high quality and guarantee the authenticity and integrity of the information. The recommendations are:

1. Measures should be taken in order to organise the transferral of data and trial documents to a suitable and secure data repository to help ensure that the data are properly prepared, available in the longer term, stored securely and subject to rigorous governance. Repositories that explicitly support data sharing for COVID-19 trials should be announced.

2. Trustworthy repositories should be leveraged as a vital resource for providing access to and supporting the depositing of research data. However, as an emerging and evolving area in biomedical domains, trustworthiness assessment should not be limited to certification or accreditation (Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems, 2011; CoreTrustSeal Standards and Certification Board, 2019). A wide range of community-based standardised quality criteria, best practices, and principles (e.g. TRUST Principles (Lin et al., 2020)) should also be considered.

3. If analysis environments that allow in situ analysis of data sets are available, but prevent downloads, they should be provided to the end-user researchers in a pandemic situation, without fees if possible.

4. Tools allowing different data sets from different repositories to be analysed together on a temporary basis should be provided.

5. Adequate tools should be implemented for collection and analysis of reliable real-world data on drugs approved for the treatment of COVID-19.
Data Standards

Using relevant data and metadata standards for clinical data will allow and support the consistent access to and reliable exchange of data from COVID-19 clinical research and case reporting.

1. More support is needed for academic researchers to apply the relevant standards (a ‘simplified CDISC’ for COVID-19 may be useful); this should be a priority for funders and institutions.
2. In the current situation, standards related to data sharing around COVID-19 clinical research and case reporting should be made accessible without licensing fees. Openness should become the rule in pandemic situations.
3. Multi-centre and/or multi-country studies, including a sample size calculation according to the primary objective, should be recommended to generate sound evidence on COVID-19 treatments. Policy makers and funders should act so that priority is given to such trials for quickly achieving results. Collaborative trials and multi-arm studies comparing different interventions are advisable.
4. Heterogeneity between registries regarding the number of studies listed and the information available for individual studies should be overcome through a dialogue among different platforms.

FAIR Data

Discoverability and metadata are important elements to optimise sharing and accelerate data use.

1. Tools should be developed to enable regular harvesting of metadata objects from clinical trials, allowing identification of trials and all related data objects (e.g. protocol, data set, a summary of results, publication, data management plan) through one portal (e.g. ECRIN: Clinical Research Metadata Repository (European Clinical Research Infrastructure Network).
2. For COVID-19 a variety of study designs is applied, covering interventional trials, observational studies, cohorts and registries. Metadata schemas between these study types should be aligned to improve discoverability of studies and associated data objects.

Protection of Trial Participants

1. Due to pressure to rapidly publish and make data available, there may be a greater risk of data not being properly de-identified (anonymised) prior to data sharing. For this reason, measures to protect and properly de-identify data is paramount (e.g. specific data use agreements). For public health emergency situations, some legislation (e.g. GDPR Article 9 (Vollmer, 2018)) contains emergency provisions on processing of sensitive personal information in the area of public health, but even in this situation, the standard of protection of this data still requires safeguarding the rights and freedoms of the data subjects. This information should be available centrally on a government web page with explicit authority.

Informed Consent for Data Sharing

1. Data and clinical trial information should be made available for broad sharing when possible.
2. Where real-world data are collected from patient registries or similar data sources not involving specific consent to participate, patients’ privacy must be adequately protected (Access Now, 2020).
Publications and Other Formats

Availability for timely publication of results - even for negative and withdrawn studies - and for data underlying a publication should be declared by investigators and sponsors at the time of study registration and included in the study documents (e.g. protocol, patient information and consent form). However, in the COVID-19 crisis, publication cannot be the criterion for data sharing. Timely data sharing should be performed as soon as the study is completed (Birney et al., 2009).

Biological Samples as Data Sources

1. In the context of a pandemic, access to biological samples that are data sources might be of high interest and policies should be in place for facilitating their access; they should be developed in full respect of legal and safety regulations, protection of patients, and with recognition of the value of the work performed to constitute such collections with relevant metadata and in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provisions on biobanking (Staunton et al., 2019).

2. Main principles are delineated in the Access policy of BBMRI-ERIC, the European Research Infrastructure Consortium for Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources (BBMRI-ERIC, 2020).

Rights, Types and Management of Access

In order to expedite the process of data sharing, standardised agreements for sharing of data between data providers, repositories and data requestors for COVID-19 clinical trials should be developed and implemented (e.g. data transfer agreements, data access and data use agreements).

3.4 Guidelines

3.4.1 Data and Metadata Standards for Clinical Data

1. Widely accepted data and metadata standards should be applied in COVID-19 studies and case reporting. Among the various standards for consistently defining, coding and reporting data from clinical research and case reports, those from the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC, 2020a; in FAIRsharing) and, especially for exchanging electronic health records (EHR), HL7 FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) are particularly encouraged to be considered for ensuring data interoperability. Clinical trials, case reports and public health studies should put the CDISC Interim User Guide for COVID-19 (CDISC, 2020b) into consideration. For computational tools used in the clinical research and case reporting, the application of COVID-19 specific FHIR profiles are recommended, if available. For cases where CDISC and HL7 standards are not applicable or feasible, there are alternatives, especially for academic teams. A comprehensive list of standards to format and describe clinical data and metadata is available in the RDA-endorsed FAIRsharing.

2. Standardised clinical terminologies and ontologies should be used to describe the semantic content of the data and corresponding metadata, e.g. International Classification of Diseases (ICD) (World Health Organization, 2018), Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT) and LOINC (Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes). This ensures unambiguous interpretation (by both humans and computer algorithms) of the used terms describing the data and its elements. SNOMED CT and ICD-10 were both extended by specific terms corresponding to COVID-19 and special use codes were developed for LOINC that can be accessed as pre-release terms.
3.4.2 Clinical Trials on COVID-19

Clinical trials are an important research area to discover and make available safe and effective treatments for COVID-19. International, national, and regional networks exist for clinical trials. Specific resources were also made available to guide clinical trials in COVID-19. Specific recommendations on registering, performing, and sharing ongoing clinical research are the following:

1. Lawful fast track approval procedures of clinical trials in cases of public health emergencies exist that speed up processes while adequately protecting individual rights. Platforms that point to them in the various national and international institutions should be further developed and administrations should apply them diligently and transparently.

2. Clinical trials in COVID-19 should be registered at or before the time of first patient enrolment and protocols published in order to favor harmonisation of studies, collaboration among centres, as well as to avoid duplication of efforts.

3. Individual participant data sharing should be based on broad consent by trial participants (or if applicable by their legal representatives) to the sharing and secondary reuse of their data for scientific purposes, according to applicable laws, regulations, and policies.

4. Procedures on data sharing specific for COVID-19 in the informed consent for clinical trials should be in accordance with standards and recommendations (e.g. ISO/TS 17975:2015 Health informatics: Principles and data requirements for consent in the Collection, Use or Disclosure of personal health information) ([International Organization for Standardization, 2015](https://www.iso.org/standard/56061.html)) or the Global Alliance for Genomics and Health (GA4GH) Consent Policy ([Global Alliance for Genomics and Health, 2019](https://www.ga4gh.org/data-principles/consent-policy/)).

5. Clinical data and clinical trial information should be done using appropriate reporting guidelines ([see EQUATOR Network guidelines](https://www.equator-network.org)) and FAIR Sharing Registry.

6. Multi-centre and/or multi-country studies, including a sample size calculation according to the primary objective, should be performed to generate sound evidence on COVID-19 treatments. Collaborative trials and multi-arm studies comparing different interventions are advisable.

7. Protocols should follow standard criteria for data collection, stratification of the randomised population, type of intervention and comparator, a minimal set of primary outcome measures ([e.g. SPIRIT: Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials](https://www.equator-network.org/)) and adhere to FAIR data principles.

8. When regulatory bodies allow compassionate use of approved repurposed drugs, such a use should be reported; if a fast track for approval of proved COVID-19 drugs exists, it is also useful to report it. Adaptive study designs and post-authorisation efficacy and safety studies, after exceptional or conditional approval, should be planned with sponsors in order to favor early access of severe patients to promising medicines.

9. Pre-print publishing and other forms of knowledge sharing and exchange are important to accelerate timely circulation of information.

3.4.3 Immunological, Imaging and Healthcare Data

COVID-19 clinical trials and clinical data of patients infected by SARS-CoV-2 represent valuable information for better knowledge and management of this pandemic. The important elements of such data are clinical
presentation and evolution, diagnostic and prognostic data including immunological data, virology test results and imaging, especially lung scan in case of respiratory distress.

All values for metadata and assay results should be defined with the use of domain specific controlled vocabularies; a list of standards for clinical data and metadata is available in the RDA-endorsed FAIRsharing. These data standards are recommended for the following data types:

1. **Flow Cytometry (FACS) and Mass Cytometry (CyTOF) Experiments for ImmunoPhenotyping**

   Minimal information on flow cytometric data should be provided via the MiFlowCyt minimal standard (Lee et al., 2008; in FAIRsharing). Raw data should be provided in the standardised .fcs format (Spidlen et al., 2010; in FAIRsharing). The primary cytometry data in .fcs format is greatly enhanced by the inclusion of interpreted data (e.g. the cell population name, definition and frequency) (Dunn, 2020a).

   Cell population names should be the standard name from a curated reference source (e.g. Cell Ontology). Use of standardised cell population names in flow cytometry and CyTOF experiments improves the ability to compare datasets.

   Cell population definitions are based on the biomarker expression pattern or ‘gating strategy’. Biomarker names, when the biomarker is a monoclonal antibody, should use the antibody’s antigen name from Protein Ontology, UniProt, or ChEBI. Cell population frequency units should be defined. Inclusion of the monoclonal antibody’s clone name enhances the confidence that this crucial assay reagent is the same across datasets. Gating information should be provided using Gating-ML (Spidlen et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing).

2. **Chemokine and Cytokine Measurements (e.g. ELISA, Luminex xMAP, MBAA)**

   Chemokine and cytokine assay methods are often based on monoclonal antibodies and findability and interoperability is facilitated by standardised naming of the antibody’s antigen (e.g. Protein Ontology, UniProt, ChEBI), the antibody detector, the antibody’s clone name and the vendor. Data standards and deposition guides are available (Dunn, 2020b).

3. **Neutralising Antibody Titer**

   Standardised names for viral targets using reference sources (e.g. National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI] Taxonomy) is recommended. Description of the neutralising antibody type (e.g. IgM, IgG) and detector enhances interoperability.

4. **Virus Presence and Titer**

   Standardised names using reference sources (e.g. NCBI Taxonomy) for measurement of virus presence is recommended.

5. **Imaging Data**

   Standards for medical images and interoperability protocols such as those described in the work of (Persons et al., 2020) should be applied. Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) (DICOM Standards Committee) is the international standard for medical images and related information that is universally adopted by almost all of the leading vendors of medical imaging equipment and software. Most relevant to COVID-19 is that virtually all clinical chest X-ray, lung CT, and brain/neuro MRI, and many ultrasound imaging systems follow the DICOM standard, which defines the formats for medical images that can be exchanged with the data and quality necessary for clinical use. A DICOM Tag serves as a unique identifier for an element of information which is used to identify Attributes and corresponding Data Elements. Supplement 142 of the
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DICOM Standard ([DICOM Standards Committee, 2011](http://dicom standards committee.org)) offers a framework for de-identification of clinical imaging data for use in research studies ([Freymann, 2012](http://freymann.com)).

DICOMweb, a DICOM standard for web-based medical imaging, and HL7 FHIR are complementary standards to service the needs of imaging in healthcare. HL7 and FHIR provide the information model for health information, whereas DICOM and DICOMweb provide the information for imaging ([DICOM Standards Committee](http://dicom standards committee.org)). A list of imaging standards and repositories is available in the RDA-endorsed [FAIRsharing](http://fairsharing.org).

6. **Genomics Data and Health-related Data**

Sharing genomic and health-related data should follow recommendations modeled after the “Global Alliance for Genomics and Health (GA4GH) Consent Policy” ([Global Alliance for Genomics and Health, 2019](http://global alliance for genomics and health.org)). Access to sensitive personal data (e.g. genetic data, health-related data) should be outlined in Data Access Agreements (DAAs) between the data holder and secondary data users, and data requests should be reviewed and managed by Data Access Committees to determine whether future data uses are consistent with data use limitations. In addition, data should be shared in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and policies. More information on the ethical and legal bases can be found in the legal and ethical sub-group section.
4. Data Sharing in Omics Practices

4.1 Focus and Description

The understanding of the ways in which the SARS-CoV-2 virus causes the COVID-19 disease is based on research into the molecular biology of the processes at cellular and subcellular level. The data of this style are the focus of this section.

4.2 Scope

For the purpose of this initiative, Omics are defined as data from cell and molecular biology. For most of the data modalities, data can be deposited in existing database resources. Many of these resources are now supporting specific COVID-19 subsets.

Within this scope, recommendations on data that are already frequently associated with biological research on SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 are prioritised.

4.3 Policy Recommendations

4.3.1 Researchers Producing Data

The FAIR data principles address a primary concern that has led to the formation of the group writing these guidelines: availability and re-usability of research data on COVID-19 in order to prevent unnecessary duplication of work. Considerations for Omics during the COVID-19 pandemic are:

1. Reusability of data requires documented provenance: When sharing any secondary data, the generation of which involved comparison against other resources (examples for Omics data are: reference sequences for mapping, GO annotations for expression analysis, pre-trained models for gene annotation), both the public availability of these used resources and unambiguous referencing of the used resources, including version numbers, should be ensured.
2. Increase the reusability of data with consistent preprocessing: To increase the availability of data ready for analysis and integration, it may be prudent to agree on a consistent approach to preprocessing Omics data. This would be a second-phase step that should not unnecessarily slow down researchers collecting data.
3. If you have any existing SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV or EBOV data that have not yet been made public, consider publishing that data now as it can be a useful reference.

4.3.2 Policymakers & Funders

Due to the high costs involved with high-throughput genomics, few data are available from Low and Middle Income Countries (LMIC) and from minority ethnic populations in high income countries, thus leading to improper extrapolation of results to unrepresented population groups. Research that improves the coverage could be worth preferential treatment for funding.
4.4 Guidelines

4.4.1 Guidelines for Virus Genomics Data

4.4.1.1 Repositories

There are several genomics resources that can be used to make virus genomics sequences available for further research. A curated list can be found in FAIRsharing. Some specific examples are:

1. We suggest that raw virus sequence data are stored in one of the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) archives, as each of these is well known and openly accessible for immediate reuse without undue delays:
   1.1. DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) (Ogasawara et al., 2020; in FAIRsharing) Sequence Read Archive (SRA)
   1.2. ENA (European Nucleotide Archive at EMBL-EBI; in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see ENA Documentation (ENA-Docs, 2020)
   1.3. NCBI SRA (in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see SRA Submission documentation (NIH-NCBI, 2020)

2. For assembled and annotated genomes we suggest deposition in one or more of these archives:
   2.1. NCBI GenBank (in FAIRsharing), accessible through NCBI Virus (Hatcher et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see Viral sequence submission documentation (NIH-NCBI, 2020)
   2.2. DDBJ Annotated/Assembled Sequences (DDBJ, 2020; in FAIRsharing)
   2.3. ENA (EMBL-EBI, 2008 in FAIRsharing)

3. Virus Data submitted to GenBank (NCBI, 2013; Benson et al., 2013; Clark et al., 2016; in FAIRsharing) and RefSeq (NCBI, 2013; Pruitt et al., 2012; in FAIRsharing) will be available for reuse through NCBI Virus (NCBI, 2013; Hatcher et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing).

4. There are other archives suitable for genome data that are more restrictive in their data access; submission to such resources is not discouraged, but such archives should not be the only place where a sequence is made available.

5. Before submission of raw sequence data (e.g., shotgun sequencing) to INSDC archives, it is necessary to remove contaminating human reads.

4.4.1.2 Data and Metadata Standards

A list of relevant genomics data and metadata standards can be found in FAIRsharing, some specific examples are:

1. We suggest that data are preferentially stored in the following formats, in order to maximise the interoperability with each other and with standard analysis pipelines:
   1.1. Raw sequences: .fastq (Cock et al., 2009; in FAIRsharing); optionally compress with gzip
   1.2. Genome contigs: .fastq (Cock et al., 2009; in FAIRsharing); if uncertainties of the assembler can be captured, .fasta (Pearson et al., 1988; in FAIRsharing) otherwise; optionally compress with gzip
   1.3. De novo aligned sequences: .afa
   1.4. Gene Structure: .gtf (in FAIRsharing)
   1.5. Gene Features: .gff (in FAIRsharing)
1.6. Sequences mapped to a genome: .sam (Li et al., 2009; in FAIRsharing) or the compressed formats .bam (in FAIRsharing) or .cram (Fritz et al., 2011; in FAIRsharing). Please ensure that the used reference sequence is also publicly available and that the @SQ header is present and unambiguously describes the used reference sequence.

1.7. Variant calling: .vcf (in FAIRsharing). Please ensure that the used reference sequence is also publicly available and that it is unambiguously referenced in the header of the .vcf file, e.g., using the URL field of the ##contig field.


2. Consider annotating virus genomes using the ENA virus pathogen reporting standard checklist (ENA, 2020), which is a minimal information standard under development right now and the more general Viral Genome Annotation System (VGAS) (Zhang et al., 2019).

3. For submitting data and metadata relating to phylogenetic relationships (including topology, branch lengths, and support values) consider using widely accepted formats such as:
   3.1. Newick (Felsenstein, 1986; in FAIRsharing)
   3.2. NEXUS (Maddison et al., 1997; in FAIRsharing)
   3.3. PhyloXML (Han et al., 2009; Stoltzfus et al., 2012; in FAIRsharing)
   3.4. The Minimum Information About a Phylogenetic Analysis (MIAPA) checklist provides a reference list of useful tree annotations (Leebens-Mack et al., 2006; Lapp et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing).

4.4.2 Guidelines for Host Genomics Data

Host genomics data are often coupled to human subjects. This comes with many ethical and legal obligations that are documented in the section on Ethical and Legal Compliance and not repeated here. The COVID-19 host genetics initiative is a bottom-up collaborative effort to generate, share and analyse data to learn the genetic determinants of COVID-19 susceptibility, severity and outcomes.

4.4.2.1 Generic Recommendations

1. Data sharing of not only summary statistics (or significant data) but also raw data (individual-level data) will foster a build-up of larger datasets. This will eventually allow identifying the determinants of phenotype more accurately.

2. Especially for raw sequencing data make sure to include Quality Control (QC) results and details of the sequencing platform used.

3. Common terminologies for reporting statistical tests, e.g., with StatO (in FAIRsharing), enable reuse and reproducibility.

4. Researchers interested in human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genomics are referred to the HLA COVID-19 consortium.

4.4.2.2 Repositories

Several different types of host genomics data are being collected for COVID-19 research. Some suitable repositories for these are:

1The lists of repositories here are sorted alphabetically within each section. The order should not be interpreted as any kind of preference or recommendation.
1. **Gene expression data** should in general be retrieved from or deposited in the repositories listed below (Blaxter et al., 2016). To achieve load balancing, it is recommended to choose the respective regional repository. It should be noted that INSDC resources (i.e., DDBJ, ENA and NCBI) synchronise most of their data sets daily.

1.1. Transcriptomics of human subjects (requiring authorised access):
   - 1.1.1. Database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) (Mailman et al., 2007; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.1.2. European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA) (Lappalainen et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing). The corresponding non-sensitive metadata will be available through EBI ArrayExpress (Athar et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.1.3. Japanese Genotype-phenotype Archive (JGA) (Kodama et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing).

1.2. Transcriptomics (from cell lines/animals):
   - 1.2.1. ArrayExpress (Athar et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.2.2. Gene Expression Omnibus (Barrett et al., 2013; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.2.3. Genomic Expression Archive (in FAIRsharing).

1.3. Underlying reads can be retrieved from/will automatically deposited to the corresponding read archive:
   - 1.3.1. DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) (Kodama et al., 2012; in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see here
   - 1.3.2. European Nucleotide Archive (in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see here
   - 1.3.3. NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (in FAIRsharing), for submission documentation see here.

1.4. Microarray-based gene expression data:
   - 1.4.1. ArrayExpress (Athar et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.4.2. Gene Expression Omnibus (Barrett et al., 2013; in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.4.3. Genomic Expression Archive (in FAIRsharing).

1.5. Data on the originating sample can be retrieved from/will automatically be deposited to the corresponding sample archive:
   - 1.5.1. DDBJ BioSample (in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.5.2. EBI BioSamples (in FAIRsharing)
   - 1.5.3. NCBI BioSample (in FAIRsharing).

1.6. For specialised use cases, additional domain-specific repositories might exist, a curated list of which can be found in FAIRsharing. Data depositors are encouraged to submit their data to these specialised resources in addition to one of the resources mentioned above.

2. **Genome-Wide Association Studies** (GWAS):
   - 2.1. GWAS Catalog (in FAIRsharing)
   - 2.2. EGA (Lappalainen et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing)
   - 2.3. GWAS Central (in FAIRsharing).

---

2This does not include the sections for restricted access data (dbGaP, EGA, JGA) and for gene expression (ArrayExpress/GEA/GEO)
3. **Adaptive Immune Receptor Repertoire Sequencing** (AIRR-seq) data: It is recommended that data be deposited using AIRR Community compliant processes and standards, in either of the following repositories.

3.1. AIRR-seq specific repositories that are part of the AIRR Data Commons, for example the iReceptor Public Archive (Corrie et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing) or VDJServer (Christley et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing).

3.2. INSDC repositories via NCBI SRA/Genbank, following the AIRR Community recommended NCBI submission processes.

4.4.2.3 Data and Metadata Standards

1. **Gene Expression Data**
   1.1. Transcriptomics
      1.1.1. Preferred minimal metadata standard: MINSEQE (in FAIRsharing)
      1.1.2. Preferred file formats (sequencing-based):
         - Raw sequences: `.fastq` (Cock et al., 2010; in FAIRsharing), optional compression with gzip or bzip2
         - Mapped sequences: `.sam` (in FAIRsharing), compression with `.bam` (in FAIRsharing) or `.cram` (Fritz et al., 2011)
         - Transcripts per million (TPM): `.csv`
      1.1.3. Also see FAIRsharing using the query ‘transcriptomics’
   1.2. Microarray-based gene expression data
      1.2.1. Preferred minimal metadata standard: MIAME (Brazma et al., 2001; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.2. Preferred file formats:
         - Binary files: `.bim` (in FAIRsharing), `.fam` (in FAIRsharing) and `.bed` (Chang et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing)
         - Text-format files: `.ped` (in FAIRsharing) and `.map` (Chang et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing).

2. **Genome-wide association studies** (GWAS):
   2.1. Preferred minimal metadata standard: MiXS (Yilmaz et al., 2011; in FAIRsharing)
   2.2. Preferred file formats:
      2.2.1. Binary files: `.bim` (in FAIRsharing), `.fam` (in FAIRsharing) and `.bed` (Chang et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing)
      2.2.2. Text-format files: `.ped` (in FAIRsharing) and `.map` (Chang et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing).

3. **Adaptive Immune Receptor Repertoire sequencing** (AIRR-seq):
   3.1. Preferred minimal metadata standards: MiAIRR (Rubelt et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing)
   3.2. Preferred file formats:
      3.2.1. **AIRR repertoire metadata**, formatted as `.json` or `.yaml` (Vander Heiden et al., 2018)
      3.2.2. **AIRR rearrangements**, formatted as `.tsv` (Vander Heiden et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing).

4.4.3 Guidelines for Structural Data

4.4.3.1 Repositories

---

3 Adaptive Immune Receptor Repertoire sequencing (AIRR-seq) samples the diversity of the immunoglobulins/antibodies and T cell receptors present in a host. The respective gene loci undergo random and irreversible rearrangement during lymphocyte development, therefore these data are fundamentally distinct from conventional genome sequencing.
Several different types of structural data are being collected for COVID-19 research. Some suitable repositories for these are:

1. Structural data on proteins acquired using any experimental technique should be deposited in the wwPDB: Worldwide Protein Data Bank (Burley et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing); a collaborating cluster of three regional centres at (i) for Europe: EBI PDBe (PDBe-KB consortium, 2020; in FAIRsharing) and the Electron Microscopy Data Bank EMDB (Lawson et al., 2011; in FAIRsharing), (ii) for the USA: RCSB PDB (Berman et al., 2000; in FAIRsharing) and (iii) for Japan: PDBj (Kinjo et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing). Data submitted to either of these resources will be available through each of them.

2. A public information sharing portal and data repository for the drug discovery community, initiated by the Global Health Drug Discovery Institute of China (GHDDI) is the GHDDI Info Sharing Portal (in FAIRsharing) and includes the following:
   2.1. Compound libraries including the ReFRAME compound library (Janes et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing) (the world’s largest collection of its kind, containing over 12,000 known drugs), a diversity-based synthetic compound library, a natural product library, a traditional Chinese medicine extract library
   2.2. Drug Discovery Cloud Computing System on Alibaba Cloud
   2.3. Data mining and integration of historical drug discovery efforts against coronavirus (e.g., SARS/MERS) using artificial intelligence (AI) and big data
   2.4. Molecular chemical modelling and simulation data using computational tools.

4.4.3.2 Locating Existing Data

1. The COVID-19 Molecular Structure and Therapeutics Hub community data repository and curation service for structure, models, therapeutics, simulations and related computations for research into the COVID-19 pandemic is maintained by The Molecular Sciences Software Institute (MolSSI) and BioExcel.

4.4.3.3 Data and Metadata Standards

1. X-ray diffraction
   1.1. There are no widely accepted standards for X-ray raw data files. Generally these are stored and archived in the vendor’s native formats. Metadata are stored in CBF/ImgCIF format (in FAIRsharing; in Catalogue of Metadata Resources for Crystallographic Applications)
   1.2. Processed structural information is submitted to structural databases in the PDBx/mmCIF format (Fitzgerald et al., 2006; in FAIRsharing).

2. Electron microscopy
   2.1. Data archiving and validation standards for cryo-EM maps and models are coordinated internationally by EMDDataResource (EMDR; in FAIRsharing)
   2.2. Cryo-EM structures (map, experimental metadata, and optionally coordinate model) are deposited and processed through the wwPDB OneDep system (wwPDB Consortium, 2020; in FAIRsharing), following the same annotation and validation workflow also used for X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structures. EMDB holds all workflow metadata while PDB holds a subset of the metadata
   2.3. Most electron microscopy data are stored in either raw data formats (binary, bitmap images, tiff, etc.) or proprietary formats developed by vendors (dm3, emispec, etc.)
2.4. Processed structural information is submitted to structural resources as **PDBx/mmCIF** ([Fitzgerald et al., 2006](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj001); in FAIRsharing).

2.5. Experimental metadata are described in **EMDR**, see also ([Lawson et al., 2020](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abaa009); in FAIRsharing).

3. NMR

3.1. There are no widely accepted standards for NMR raw data files. Generally these are stored and archived in single FID/SER files.

3.2. One effort for the standardisation of NMR parameters extracted from 1D and 2D spectra of organic compounds to the proposed chemical structure is the **NMReDATA initiative** and the **NMReDATA format** ([Pupier et al., 2018](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky926); in FAIRsharing).

3.3. There is no universally accepted format for FID-associated metadata. **NMR-STAR** ([Ulrich et al., 2019](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx806); in FAIRsharing) and its **NMR-STAR Dictionary** ([Ulrich et al., 2019](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx806); in FAIRsharing) is the archival format used by the **Biological Nuclear Magnetic Resonance data Bank (BMRB)** (in FAIRsharing), the international repository of biomolecular NMR data and an archive of the **Worldwide Protein Data Bank** ([Burley et al., 2019](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz108); in FAIRsharing).

3.4. The **nmrML format specification** (XML Schema Definition (XSD) and an accompanying controlled vocabulary called nmrCV) are an open mark-up language and an ontology for NMR data ([PhenoMeNal H2020 project, 2019](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky926); in FAIRsharing).

3.5. Processed structural information is submitted in the **PDBx/mmCIF** format ([Fitzgerald et al., 2006](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj001); in FAIRsharing).

4. Neutron scattering

4.1. **ENDF/B-VI** of Cross-Section Evaluation Working Group (CSEWG) and JEFF of OECD/NEA have been widely utilised in the nuclear community. The latest versions of the two nuclear reaction data libraries are **JEFF-3.3** ([Cabellos et al., 2017](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abx103); in FAIRsharing) and **ENDF/B-VII.0** ([Brown et al., 2018](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abx103); in FAIRsharing) with a significant upgrade in data for a number of nuclides ([Carlson et al., 2018](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abx103)).

4.2. Neutron scattering data are stored in the internationally-adopted **ENDF-6** format ([Brown et al., 2018](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abx103); in FAIRsharing) maintained by CSEWG.

4.3. Processed structural information is submitted in the **PDBx/mmCIF** format ([Fitzgerald et al., 2006](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj001); in FAIRsharing).

5. Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations

5.1. Raw trajectory files containing all the coordinates, velocities, forces and energies of the simulation are stored as binary files: .trr, .dcd, .xtc and .netCDF; see also ([Goni et al., 2013](https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.103348); in FAIRsharing)

5.2. Refined structural models from experimental structural data using MD simulations are stored in .pdb format ([Bernstein et al., 1977](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz108); in FAIRsharing).

6. Computer-aided drug design data

6.1. Virtual screening results are stored in 3D chemical data formats, such as .pdb ([Bernstein et al., 1977](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz108); in FAIRsharing).

6.2. Structural formulas either in SMILES ([Anderson et al., 1987](https://doi.org/10.1093/protac/abx103); in FAIRsharing) or IUPAC **International Chemical Identifier** (InChI), and identified through InChIKey, a non-proprietary identifier for chemical substances ([Heller et al., 2015](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky926); in FAIRsharing).

4.4.4 Guidelines for Proteomics

Proteomics studies are used to find biomarkers for disease and susceptibility.

4.4.4.1 Repositories
1. For a curated list of relevant repositories see FAIRsharing using the query ‘proteomics’. The ProteomeXchange Consortium enables searches across the following deposition databases, following common standards:

   1.1. For shotgun proteomics one of:
      1.1.1. PRIDE (Perez-Riverol et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing)
      1.1.2. MassIVE (Wang et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing)
      1.1.3. jPOST (Japan Proteome Standard Repository) (Okuda et al., 2017; in FAIRsharing)
      1.1.4. iProX (integrated Proteome resources) (Ma et al., 2019; in FAIRsharing)

   1.2. For targeted proteomics one of:
      1.2.1. PASSEL (Farrah et al., 2012; Kusebauch et al., 2014; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.2. Panorama (Sharma et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2014; in FAIRsharing)

   1.3. For repossessed results one of:
      1.3.1. PeptideAtlas (Deutsch et al., 2009; in FAIRSharing)
      1.3.2. MassIVE (Wang et al., 2018; in FAIRsharing).

2. For recommendations regarding non-mass spectrometry based protein-oriented data (e.g., ELISA, neutralising antibody titers, flow/mass cytometry) see the respective sub-section of the Clinical WG.

4.4.4.2 Data and Metadata Standards

1. For a curated list of relevant standards see FAIRsharing using the query ‘proteomics’. Specific examples:

   1.1. Use the minimal information model specified in MIAPE by the HUPO Proteomics Standards Initiative (HUPO PSI) (Taylor et al., 2007; HUPO PSI, 2007; in FAIRsharing) and these are filled using the controlled vocabularies specified by the Proteomics Standards Initiative, PSI CVs (FAIRsharing)

   1.2. Recommended formats are:
      1.2.1. For gel electrophoresis: gelML (HUPO PSI, 2010; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.2. For transition lists: TraML (HUPO PSI, 2013; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.3. For raw spectrometer output: mzML (HUPO PSI, 2017; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.4. For reporting: mzTab (HUPO PSI, 2014; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.5. For protein quantisation data: mzQuantML (HUPO PSI, 2017; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.6. For protein identification data: mzidentML (HUPO PSI, 2017; in FAIRsharing)
      1.2.7. For metadata ISA-TAB (in FAIRsharing) with conversion to PRIDE format.

4.4.5 Guidelines for Metabolomics

Metabolomics studies are used to find biomarkers for disease and susceptibility. Lipidomics is a special form of metabolomics, but is also described in more detail in a separate section below because of its special relevance to COVID-19 research.

4.4.5.1 Repositories

1. For a curated list of relevant repositories see FAIRsharing using the query ‘metabolomics’.

2. Metabolomics data can be submitted to:
   2.1. MetaboLights (in Europe) (Haug et al., 2020; in FAIRsharing)
   2.2. Metabolomics Workbench (in the USA) (Sud et al., 2016; in FAIRsharing)
   2.3. Massbank (in Japan) (Horai et al., 2010; in FAIRsharing).
4.4.5.2 Data and Metadata Standards

1. For a curated list of relevant standards see FAIRsharing using the query ‘metabolomics’. Specific examples:
   1.1. Core Information for Metabolomics Reporting, CIMR standard (in FAIRsharing)
   1.2. For identifying chemical compounds use SMILES (Anderson et al., 1987; in FAIRsharing) or InChI (Heller et al., 2015; in FAIRsharing)
   1.3. To document Investigation/Study/Assay data, use the ISA Abstract Model, also implemented as a tabular format, ISA-TAB in MetaboLights (Haug et al., 2020; in FAIRsharing) and in the Metabolomics Workbench (Sud et al., 2016; in FAIRsharing). For an introduction to ISA, see (Sansone S-A et al., 2012)
   1.4. Recommended formats are:
      1.4.1. For LC-MS data use: ANDI-MS specification (ASTM International, 2014; in FAIRsharing), an analytical data interchange protocol for chromatographic data representation and/or mzML (HUPO PSI, 2017; in FAIRsharing)
      1.4.2. For NMR data: nmrCV (in FAIRsharing), nmrML (PhenoMeNal H2020 Project, 2019; in FAIRsharing).

4.4.6 Guidelines for Lipidomics

Lipidomics revealed an altered lipid composition in infected cells and serum lipid levels in patients with preexisting conditions. Lipid rafts (lipid microdomains) play a critical role in viral infections facilitating virus entry, replication, assembly and budding. Lipid rafts are enriched in glycosphingolipids, sphingomyelin and cholesterol. It is likely that SARS-CoV-2 enters the cell via angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) that depends on the integrity of lipid rafts in the infected cell membrane.

4.4.6.1 Generic Recommendations for Researchers

Lipidomics analysis should follow the guidelines of the Lipidomic Standards Initiative.

4.4.6.2 Repositories

The recommended repository for lipidomics data is MetaboLights (Haug et al., 2020; in FAIRsharing).

4.4.6.3 Data and Metadata Standards

1. Metadata should follow recommendations from the CIMR standard by the Metabolomics Standards Initiative (in FAIRsharing). It should be made available as tab or comma separated files (.tsv or .csv).
2. Data standards: Data can be stored in LC-MS file, in tab (.tsv) or comma (.csv) separated formats.
3. Data analysis
   3.1. Most of the analysis is usually performed using the software delivered by the suppliers of the instrumentation. In line with generic software recommendations it should be made sure that the process and parameters are well described, and that the output is converted to a standard format
   3.2. Workflow for Metabolomics (W4M) is a collaborative portal dedicated to metabolomics data processing, analysis and annotation for Metabolomics community
   3.3. Data processing using R software and associated packages from Bioconductor (xcms, camera, mixOmics) is a flexible and reproducible way for lipidomic data analysis.
4. Compound identification: After data processing, potential biomarkers should be annotated. This could be done either by manual (Lipid Maps tools) or automated identification against templates (Library templates for compounds identification) with the help of software such as LipidBlast, MSPepSearch or MS-DIAL. Finally, lipids classification and nomenclature should follow the LIPID MAPS guidelines.
5. Data Sharing in Epidemiology

5.1 Focus and Description

An immediate understanding of the COVID-19 disease epidemiology is crucial to slowing infections, minimising deaths, and making informed decisions about when, and to what extent, to impose mitigation measures, and when and how to reopen society.

Despite our need for evidence based policies and medical decision making, there is no international standard or coordinated system for collecting, documenting, and disseminating COVID-19 related data and metadata, making their use and reuse for timely epidemiological analysis challenging due to issues with documentation, interoperability, completeness, methodological heterogeneity, and data quality.

5.2 Scope

There is a pressing need for a coordinated global system encompassing preparedness, early detection, and rapid response to newly emergent threats such as SARS-CoV-2 virus and the COVID-19 disease that it causes.

The intended audience for the epidemiology recommendations and guidelines are government and international agencies, policy and decision makers, epidemiologists and public health experts, disaster preparedness and response experts, funders, data providers, teachers, researchers, clinicians, and other potential users.

Please see, also, a more detailed supporting document https://doi.org/10.15497/rda00049

5.3 Policy Recommendations

5.3.1 Information Technology and Data Management

Properly funded state of the art infrastructure is required to support advanced research, as well as the and data management and data sharing required for rapid response and collaboration (See Infrastructure Investment). For epidemiology in particular:

1. Ensure an appropriate semantic annotation of data to facilitate its comparability across studies and countries, using as much as possible established standards (e.g. LOINC, UMLS).
2. Rapidly develop standardised tools for aggregating microdata to a harmonised format(s) that can be shared and used while minimising the re-identification risk for individual records.
3. Develop machine readable citations and micro-citations for dynamic data. Rapid development of: (a) Resolvable Persistent Identifiers, rather than Uniform Resource Locators (URLs); (b) Machine readable citations; (c) Micro-citations that refer to the specific data used from large datasets; and, (d) Date and Time Access citations for dynamic data (ESIP, 2019).

5.3.2 COVID-19 Epidemiological Data, Analysis and Modelling

1. Rapidly develop a consensus standard for COVID-19 surveillance data:

   a. Definition of and reporting criteria for COVID-19 testing, reporting on testing, and testing turnaround times.
b. Policies and definitions: interventions, contact tracing, reporting of cases, deaths, hospitalisations and length of stay, ICU admissions, recoveries, reinfections, time from contact if known, symptoms onset and detection, through clinical course and interventions, to death or recovery, comorbidities, long-term effects in recovered cases, sequelae and immunity, location, demographic, socioeconomic information, and outcome of resolved cases.

c. Uniform standard daily reporting cut-off time.

2. Rapidly develop an internationally harmonised specification to enable the export/import/integration of epidemiologic data across different levels of data generation (e.g., clinical systems, population-based surveillance/research data, data from biomarker and omics studies, death certification, health insurance data), and successful record-linkage.

3. Develop systems that support workflows to link and share data between different domains, while protecting privacy and security. Use domain specific, time stamped, encrypted person identifiers for this purpose.

4. Implement internationally harmonised COVID-19 intervention protocols based on peer-reviewed empirical modelling and epidemiological evidence, considering local conditions.

5. Publish situational data, analytical models, scientific findings, and reports used in decision-making and justification of decisions (OGP, 2020b).


7. Harmonise approaches to comparably assess and quantify side-effects of pandemic containment and mitigation measures.

8. Report underlying assumptions and quantify effects of uncertainties on all reported parameters and conclusions for all model predictions etc.


5.4 Guidelines

5.4.1 COVID-19 Population Level Data Sources

Although jurisdictions within countries send COVID-19 population level data to the national level, and member countries send data to the WHO, other organisations also collect COVID-19 surveillance data from various sources for a variety of reasons (Table 2). Epidemiologists are thus faced with a situation where it is difficult to assess which datasets are the most up-to-date, complete, and reliable.

Table 2 - COVID-19 population level data sources
5.4.2 Epidemiological Surveillance Data Model

The COVID-19 epidemiology that guides public health decisions is dependent on interoperable input data from across a wide variety of domains that include not only clinical, surveillance, research, and modelling data, but also administrative, demographic, socioeconomic, cultural practices and lifestyle, and environmental data, amongst others.

An epidemiological surveillance data model must include the primary data domains that need to be integrated to understand COVID-19, and to improve surveillance and follow-up: (a) clinical event history and disease milestones; (b) epidemiological indicators and reporting data; (c) contact tracing; (d) personal risk factors.

Standardisation challenges within each of these domains remain to be solved before data can be effectively integrated across domains for epidemiology studies. For example, on the clinical side, the U.S. Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) new specification (Interim User Guide for COVID-19), and the WHO Core and Rapid COVID-19 Case Reporting Forms used in low- and middle-income Countries (LMIC) require additional harmonisation.

5.4.3 COVID-19 Survey Initiatives

International efforts are currently underway to create COVID-19 instruments/ questionnaires (Tables 3-4). These COVID-specific tools are concentrated at person-level for clinic/hospital surveillance (e.g., Case Report Forms-CRFs), or community surveillance (e.g., questionnaire for general population), and do not necessarily collect the same data. Adherence of new studies to already introduced instruments will strongly enhance the comparability of results.

Table 3 - Questionnaire instruments: Reference studies
**CLINICAL**

Australia:  [NSW Case questionnaire](https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/HealthTopics/Coronavirus)
Germany:  [Covid-19 research dataset](https://www.gesundheitswesen.de/Startseite/aktuell.html)
Uganda:  [Perinatal COVID-19 Uganda](https://www.health.go.ug/)

**WORLDWIDE**


**POPULATION-BASED**

Brazil:  [Brazil Prevalence of Infection Survey](https://www.epi-brazil.gov.br/)
Europe:  [Questionnaire by WHO Europe](https://ecdc.europa.eu/en)
Germany:  [GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak](https://www.gesis.org/en)

Israel:  [One-minute population wide survey](https://www.health.gov.il)
Low and Middle Income Countries:  [LMIC Covid Questionnaire](https://www.who.int)

South Africa:  [South African Population Research Infrastructure (SAPRIN) COVID-19 Screening Form](https://www.nhm.ac.za)
South Asian countries:  [National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Global Health Research Unit](https://www.nikefoundation.org)

UK  [UK COVID-19 Questionnaire](https://www.nice.org.uk)


*Table 4 - Questionnaire instruments: Resources*

- NIH Public Health Emergency and Disaster Research Response (DR2)
- NIH [COVID-19OBSSR Research Tools](https://www.cdc.gov)
- PhenX [PhenX COVID-19 Toolkit](https://www.phenx.org)

### 5.4.4 COVID-19 Question Bank

Some of the questionnaire initiatives shown in Tables 3 and 4 are currently feeding into the construction of a COVID-19 demographic and epidemiological surveillance question bank that can be used to form locality specific surveys with both common and distinct questions by domains and cohorts (Wellcome Trust). Some, such as the [UK COVID-19 Questionnaire](https://www.nice.org.uk), or the [Covid-19 research dataset](https://www.gesundheitswesen.de/Startseite/aktuell.html) are now being funded. Question banks, once they become operational can be queried and filtered by domain, cohort, question text, etc. Based on such queries, new questionnaire products can be developed that are more or less interoperable,
depending on the questions selected and the capture of “localisation” information in the question metadata when questions are reused from one survey to the next.

5.4.5 Privacy

Data sharing is essential to improve epidemiological analysis, cross-border pandemic modelling, and coordinated policy development between countries. To ensure privacy, both pseudo-anonymisation of direct identifiers (e.g. patient specific ID’s) and anonymisation of indirect identifiers (e.g. socio-demographic information on individuals) must be applied. In addition, it is necessary to control statistical disclosure risk to prevent identification of individuals and their health status using a combination of indirect identifiers such as education level, sex, age, and clinical condition, among others (Duncan et al., 2011; Templ et al., 2015; Templ, 2017). Using synthetic data may be an option to lower re-identification risks while retaining properties of the original data sets.

5.4.6 Global Preparation, Detection and Response

WHO’s Global Influenza Surveillance Response System (GISRS) is a well-established network of more than 150 national public health laboratories in 125 countries that monitors the epidemiology and virologic evolution of influenza disease and viruses (WHO, 2020).

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, WHO was already engaged in re-examining GISRS’s long-term fitness-for-purpose. In line with these short-term considerations, and with GISRS long-term aspirations, we are recommending a real time, adaptable, rapid response system that supports developing countries, and that employs new technology to combat pandemics and other emerging diseases. The RDA-COVID19-Epidemiology group recommends the creation of a WHO-led EPIdemioIogical Translational Research Action Coalition (Epi-TRAC) to add an implementation layer to the existing WHO policies, guidelines, partnerships, and information exchange stack adapted to country-specific contexts.

5.4.7 A Common Data Model

Data models may make use of the broad ecosystem of surveillance and clinical data that can also include contact tracing apps, biospecimens, and environmental sample data collected in the community/population or clinic/hospitals.

An emulated trials approach may enable assessment of various risk and prognostic factors (Hernán et.al., 2016). Application of a Common Data Model (CDM) for COVID-19 would facilitate comparing clinical burden and patient outcomes in the context of previous environmental and exposures and comorbidities.

Another possible use case is decision support following an early warning system alert of emergence of a novel pathogen such as SARSCoV-2. The CDM provides a framework for making public health policy decisions, using partial information about the pandemic that leverages population-level population and health information, person-level epidemiological surveillance information collected in the field and, at the same time or alternatively, person-level patient care information collected in a clinic or hospital setting.

5.4.8 Putting it all together: Epi-Stack

The WHO has established the Information Network for Epidemics (Epi-WIN) covering four strategic areas: (a) Identify; (b) Simplify; (c) Amplify; and, (d) Quantify. Evidence is gathered, appraised, and assessed to help form recommendations and policies that have an impact on the health of individuals and population.
The RDA Epi subWG proposes an expanded Epi-Stack feeding into Epi-WIN (Figure 2). This would bring together in a managed system a common data model, the epidemiological surveillance data model, clinical and questionnaire data, population level indicators, and core use cases (Epi-TRAC early warning and response system, decision support research, and patient care research).

Figure 2 - Epi-Stack. Proposed evidence support system as input to the WHO’s Epi-WIN communication channels for various audiences
6. Data Sharing in Social Sciences

6.1 Focus and Description

Data from the social sciences is essential for all domains (including omics, clinical and epidemiology, among others) that seek to better plan for effective management of the COVID-19 pandemic and its consequences. Social scientists are collecting new information and reusing existing data sources to better inform leaders and policymakers about pressing social and economic issues regarding COVID-19, to enable evidence-based decision-making, as this pandemic is as much a social as it is a medical phenomenon. Social science research, involving a predominance of observational methods, produces unique data that cannot be recreated in the future. Furthermore, key social science data, such as demographics, are valuable tools for all disciplines to be able to understand context and link datasets. Data types in the social sciences include qualitative; quantitative; geospatial; audio, image, and video; and non-designed data (also referred to as digital trace data). Recommendations made in these guidelines will help ensure that research data management is expedient—but not hasty—and that data contributions from the social sciences are shared and preserved in ways that allow them to be leveraged long-term for the broadest impact and reused across all domains.

6.2 Scope

Social science disciplines include economics, sociology, political science, education, demography, social anthropology, geography, and psychology, among others. The current health crisis is influenced by the way political leaders, health expert panels, social communities and individual citizens have reacted to the challenges presented by the virus. Social science data have significant value for tracking and altering the social, political, cultural, psychological and economic impact of COVID-19 as well as future health emergencies. Such knowledge can facilitate preparation and mitigation measures, ameliorate negative impacts, improve social and economic wellbeing, and inform decision-making processes. These recommendations are shaped by the need for rapid and long-term access to social science data in the following areas, among others:

1. Social Isolation and Social Distancing
2. Family and Intergenerational Relationships
3. Quality of Life and Wellbeing
4. Health Behaviours and Behaviour Change
5. Health Disparities
6. Impact on Vulnerable Populations (including immigrants, minority groups)
7. Community Impact and Neighbourhood Effects
8. Transportation; Food Security
9. Beliefs, Attitudes, Misinformation, Public Opinion
10. Technology-Mediated Communication (public information campaigns; social media use)
11. Economic Impacts (including industry, work, unemployment)
12. Organisational Change
13. Social Inequalities and Discrimination
14. Education Impacts (including online learning)
15. Political Dynamics, Policy Approaches, and Government Expenditure
16. Criminal Justice (including domestic violence, prison populations, cybercrime)
17. **Human Mobility and Migration (including dislocation).**

Ensuring data produced across such areas of research are readily accessible and properly documented will (1) advance the social science research agenda around COVID-19; (2) promote interoperable cross-disciplinary and cross-cultural data use, collaboration, and understanding; (3) build a foundation for managing social science data during pandemics and health emergencies more generally, ensuring that social science research can be leveraged for the public good.

### 6.3 Policy Recommendations

In formulating policies on pressing questions in times of emergency, policymakers require access to social science research based on data. Because the COVID-19 crisis is taking place in the context of a data-intensive economy, data plays a crucial role and has value across many stakeholders (e.g., scientists, citizens, governments, private corporations). Data generated from publicly funded projects should be made quickly available to the research community. The following recommendations are aimed at ensuring the policies and practices across the wide array of organisations supporting research during COVID-19 require and ensure high quality, social science data in line with FAIR principles.

1. Ensure robust funding streams for social science research, which is essential to the work in all other research domains and important itself for understanding and managing the social, behavioural, and economic aspects of pandemics. This is necessary to avoid increasing health and social disparities due to COVID-19 and other health emergencies.

2. Funding decisions should prioritise projects where the social science data being produced can be used across domains and are linkable and interoperable.

3. Social science funding should require data sharing and support infrastructure for data archiving and preservation. This includes striving for funding models that are applied equitably across projects, researchers, and countries. This is also a mandate for covering costs for infrastructure in the broadest sense (e.g., ensuring open access to data, curation services, research data management costs across the lifecycle, and long-term preservation, among others).

4. Despite rapid needs for data and research, basic human subject protections must be upheld by all institutions engaged in research. All human subjects are equal and should be treated as such; every single human subject should be treated fairly.

5. All stakeholders (researchers, research institutions, institutional ethics review boards/ethics committees, healthcare organisations, funding agencies and policy makers) should consider COVID-19 data sharing needs while reviewing the ethics standards, finding balance between the community good and the individual rights of the participants.

6. Official statistical agencies and other official data providers should ensure that there are uniform recommendations about the minimal number of metadata variables shared that will allow linking the different types of data produced around COVID-19 (e.g., geospatial codes and time stamping using controlled vocabularies, ideally international standards such as NUTS (eurostat) and ISO (ISO)).

7. Social science journals should require COVID-19 related articles to provide data statements on data availability that point to access in a publicly available repository.

### 6.4 Guidelines

The overall principle appropriate in times of public crises like COVID-19 is to allow the sharing of as much data as openly as possible and in a timely fashion, maintaining public trust. The following recommendations
in relation to data management and sharing, ethical and legal issues, metadata, storage, should be referenced in making decisions which necessarily balance individual and public rights and benefits.

6.4.1 Data Management Responsibilities and Resources

Data management and planning are key, and recommendations Section 2.2.4 should be noted. To ensure broad reuse, a Data Management Plan (DMP) constructed for social science data collections should guide the handling of the data over time and help all disciplines (e.g., clinical, epidemiology) understand the data.

Social scientists should consult a list of data management resources in Data Management Expert Guide (CESSDA Training Team, 2019) and associated DMP template. Use one of the DMP tools for your country, funder, and preferred language: DMPonline (DCC; DMPTool (University of California); DMP assistant (Portage); ARGOS (OpenAIRE); DMP_OPIDoR (OPIDoR) and Plan de Gestión de Datos (PGD) (Vilches) and address the relevant aspects of making the data FAIR (Wilkinson et al., 2016) in a DMP.

6.4.2 Documentation, Standards, and Data Quality

Social science data producers should provide thorough documentation about the data themselves, the research context, methods used to collect, store, and treat data, and quality-assurance steps taken. Consider the needs of the future data user when developing and creating documentation. The documentation serves multiple purposes, supporting reproducibility, linkage, quality checking, understandability and transparency of the collection and storage process.

Social science researchers should be aware of metadata standards used in the social sciences and deposit data into repositories using Data Documentation Initiative (DDI) (in FAIRsharing), the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI) Scheme (in FAIRsharing), QuDEx (in FAIRsharing), ISO 19115 (in FAIRsharing), and SDMX (in FAIRsharing).

Social science researchers should be aware of controlled vocabularies and ontologies for the social sciences including Humanities and Social Science Electronic Thesaurus (HASSET) (in FAIRsharing), the European Language Social Science Thesaurus (ELSSST) (in FAIRsharing) which is multilingual, the CESSDA Vocabulary (in FAIRsharing) for describing data elements (e.g. analysis unit, data type, mode of collection, etc.), and the DDI set of controlled vocabularies (in FAIRSharing).

Documentation for data elements (e.g., geography, time period, demographics) that are useful for linking to other sources of data around COVID-19 should allow full understanding of context, method, and limitations.

Use standardised codes for places to reduce data consistency challenges that come from the use of textual entity names. We strongly encourage the use of ISO-3166 for countries or administrative subdivisions, ANSI (American National Standards Institute) and FIPS (Federal Information Processing Standards) for U.S. States and counties, and standard identifiers for organisational entities such as companies (Coffey; INSEAD). This set of actions will facilitate data analysis, harmonisation, linking, visualisation, and integration in applications.

To encourage interdisciplinary research, social scientists should be mindful of commonly accepted professional codes or norms for documentation needs when producing documentation according to their own particular disciplinary norms. This allows for all domains to be able to ensure the research integrity of social science data it accesses or reuses. For example, the use of readme files to orient a user to a set of files are common in some professions.
Data should be stored in at least one non-proprietary format that is well-documented. Many repositories publish lists of recommended, preferred, or acceptable formats that are useful for social scientists to consult. Two sources for recommended formats are UKDA Recommended Formats (UK Data Service) and Library of Congress Recommended Formats Statement (Library of Congress). The social sciences benefit from the use of many common formats used across disciplines, enabling broader interoperability.

6.4.3 Storage and Backup

Where possible, researchers should avoid using personal storage, and instead use the official storage provisions available from their institution, including when working remotely, as they are more likely to provide robust backup and data protection features.

Researchers with sensitive data or data with disclosure risk should seek a storage solution for their data which offers flexibility and protection, such as a solution offering remote access work (German Data Forum (RatSWD), 2020).

Social sciences data, as is true for human subjects data in other domains, may have particular requirements as to how it can be stored and accessed, based on laws and regulations, research ethics protocols, or secondary data licences that often vary by country.

Data access while data collection is active should be limited to those with authorisation to use the data. To speed access to COVID-related data, we encourage authorising external user groups where possible. Sensitive data and human subject data containing personally identifiable information (PII) or protected health information (PHI) should be adequately protected and encrypted when at rest or in transit, and no matter where or how it is stored.

Where possible, best practice is to store data (including participant consent files) without direct identifiers and replace personal identifiers with a randomly assigned identifier. Researchers should create a separate file, to be kept apart from the rest of the data, which provides the linking relationship between any personal identifiers and the randomly assigned unique identifiers.

Ensure that data should be backed up in multiple locations all under the same security conditions (See section on Infrastructure Investment).

Where possible, select a storage solution that allows an easy way to maintain version control.

6.4.4 Legal and Ethical Requirements

It is recommended to establish rigorous approval mechanisms for sharing data (via consent, regulation, institutional agreements and other systematic data governance mechanisms). Researchers have a responsibility for ensuring research participants understand that there may be a risk of re-identification when data are shared. Find a balance that takes into account individual, community and societal interests and benefits whilst addressing public health concerns and objectives to enable access to data and their reuse, and maximise the research potential.

Ethics reviewing during a crisis like the COVID-19 pandemic is critical to protect highly vulnerable populations from potential harm. Therefore these Guidelines endorse guidance such as the Statement of the African Academy of Sciences’ Biospecimens and Data Governance Committee On COVID-19: Ethics, Governance and Community engagement in times of crises (AAS, 2020).
Respect Indigenous People’s rights and interests and follow the CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance (Research Data Alliance International Indigenous Data Sovereignty Interest Group, 2019), that complement the FAIR principles and are people and purpose-oriented.

Ethical use of open data ensures inclusive development and equitable outcomes. Metadata should acknowledge the provenance and purpose and any limitations or obligations in secondary use, inclusive of issues of consent.

Researchers whose data have legal, privacy, or other restrictions should seek out appropriate alternative avenues for data sharing, including restricted access conditions and embargoes, only when absolutely essential.

Ensure licences and agreements in data acquisition enable downstream data sharing and preservation. The way primary data have previously been collected and processed may have an impact on the sharing and use of secondary data. Sharing and use of these data can be agreed for a certain duration, defined purposes and with appropriate guarantees for both researchers and data providers. Licences for secondary data (e.g., with universities or research groups) should be written to allow researchers to share data, to enable broader sharing for the public good, such as limited extracts that cannot undermine the data provider’s business model. Researchers should seek local support to clarify how best to share secondary data, to ensure and negotiate the appropriate rights.

When working with commercial partners, seek opportunities to negotiate data sharing mechanisms agreeable to both parties. Develop partner and consortial agreements that make explicit each partner’s rights, including what data can be shared and how. Ensure equitable partnerships.

Using data from social media introduces additional issues. Individuals creating and sharing content may not regard this as a public space and have an expectation of a degree of privacy. Furthermore, social networks by definition reveal connections between many individuals; thus an individual post or tweet may provide information on many different data subjects without their knowledge or consent. In addition, researchers collecting data from the web should ensure they have sufficient rights to do so to safeguard their ability to use the data; many websites have terms and conditions that prohibit data collection, particularly via web scraping and other automated methods.

6.4.5 Data Sharing and Long-term Preservation

Disciplinary norms vary widely across the multiple social sciences disciplines in relation to how common it is for data to be shared and deposited. Some disciplines, including political science and economics, have rapidly developed data sharing practices based on widely shared norms about the replicability and transparency of research findings, as well as pre-registration of research studies. These have often been fostered by the requirements of top international journals to make data available for validation. Adoption levels vary considerably across countries even within disciplines, mostly as a function of the requirements and compliance monitoring of funders.

Embracing the FAIR agenda is now critical for all social scientists collecting data relating to COVID-19, and future pandemics, in order to ensure maximum benefit from the data. In the current emergency context, it is a moral imperative to preserve the data and share it in the most open way possible for each case.

Where possible, provide immediate open access to all relevant research data. Open data should be licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) or a Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication or equivalent. If immediate open access is not possible, researchers should make data
available as soon as possible. Researchers whose data have legal, privacy, or other restrictions should seek out appropriate alternative avenues for data sharing including restricted access conditions.

Deposit quality-controlled research data in a data repository, whenever possible in a trustworthy data repository committed to preservation. As the first choice, disciplinary repositories are recommended for maximum visibility, followed by general or institutional repositories. See 2.2.7 Use of Trustworthy Data Repositories for further guidance on repositories. COVID-19 related social science data may be shared in a generalist repository. If you use a general repository (e.g. Figshare (in FAIRsharing), Dryad (in FAIRsharing), Harvard Dataverse (in FAIRsharing), openICPSR (in FAIRsharing), Zenodo (in FAIRsharing) and others), describe the data using the following as a minimum: the dataset’s creator, title, description, year of publication, any embargo, licensing terms, and repository identifier.

The COVID Data Repository (ICPSR) accepts data from multiple domains (and formats) as a generalist repository, but because it is run by a social science data repository, ICPSR, it offers relevant domain repository benefits (e.g., curation by domain curators, restricted data dissemination options) and ensures social science COVID-19 data are FAIR and in a CoreTrustSeal repository.

To ensure social sciences data can be linked with data being produced by other entities, consider long-term preservation of information that enables data linkages to be made over time, under appropriate security frameworks by creating a separate file. This file should be kept apart from the rest of the data, which provides the linking relationship between any personal identifiers and the randomly assigned unique identifiers.

Social scientists should make available and deposit with data in a repository all documentation--such as codebooks, lab journals, informed consent form templates--which are important for understanding the data and combining them with other data sources. Researchers should also make available information regarding the computing context relevant for using the data (e.g., software, hardware configurations, syntax queries) and deposit it with the data where possible.
7. Community Participation and Data Sharing

7.1 Focus and Description

Public health emergencies require profound and swift action at scale with limited resources, often on the basis of incomplete information and frequently under rapidly evolving circumstances. The current COVID-19 pandemic is one such emergency, and its scale is unprecedented in living history. Worldwide, many communities are coming together to address the emergency in a plethora of ways, many of which involve data in various fashions. For instance, they produce or mobilise data, add or refine metadata, assess data quality, merge, curate, preserve and combine datasets; analyse, visualise and use the data to develop maps, automated tools and dashboards; implement good practices, share workflows, or simply engage in a range of other activities that can or do leave data traces that can be leveraged by others.

This section highlights and ultimately supports the work by communities who are collecting, curating and sharing data with the goal of improving research outputs and public knowledge. Employing specific use cases, we detail the achievements and outputs of groups who practise data sharing and stewardship, aiming to broaden access to the existing recommendations and guidelines for research data best practices. As described in “Principles of data sharing in public health emergencies” (GLOPID et al., 2018) and similar publications, such guidelines address issues of data stewardship, ethics and legality in sharing data, technical considerations in making data FAIR, or other similar guidance for collaborating in research during a crisis.

These recommendations and guidelines ultimately aim to facilitate the timely sharing of data relevant to the COVID-19 response, and build much-needed capacity, including knowledge, for similar events in the future. They also hold considerable value for both public and science communication, informing opinions and understanding, whilst supporting decision-making processes.

Although these guidelines have been developed with research data in mind, it is also desirable that data created directly by citizens, patients, communities and other actors in a health emergency be produced, curated and shared in line with the spirit of these stewardship and sharing guidelines. For example, community projects such as OpenStreetMap and Wikidata generate very valuable FAIR and open data (e.g. see Waagmeester et al., 2020), which can be analysed and used along with data from professional research and other sources.

7.2 Scope

This section discusses community participation and is intended to look at data management and sharing issues reflecting on the technical, social, legal and ethical considerations from that perspective.

These recommendations and guidelines are both for and building upon community participation, and the intended audience and contributors are:

1. Researchers undertaking activities along the entire life-cycle of pertinent data, especially those not covered in the other RDA COVID-19 WG sections and involving broad-scale community participation but also data stewardship of the community-generated data.
2. Citizen scientists undertaking research activities and in need of guidance (e.g. in terms of ethics) as well as a means to seamlessly contribute to a common body of knowledge and collaborate with other actors involved.
3. Policymakers who are involved in setting the framework for community participation, funding innovation, working on research policy or focusing on integrating data in decision making.
4. Patients, caregivers and the communities around them that are involved in leveraging data to improve prevention, diagnostics or treatment (this complements the section on Data Sharing in Clinical Medicine). Developers involved in the creation or maintenance of applications targeted at community data collection that are specific to COVID-19 (e.g. contact tracing apps or exposure risk indicator apps) or more generic in nature (e.g. health or neighbourhood apps).

5. Device makers involved in developing sensors and data generating products for the community to use.

6. Emergency responders, governmental or societal groups involved in prevention and response strategies.

7. Communicators involved in informing communities and societies at large about data-related aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic, translating data into meaningful and easy to grasp information, and circulating graphics or key messages in conventional or social media.

8. Citizens and the public at large, i.e. members of any community - including Indigenous - wanting to contribute to the COVID-19 response in ways that involve data and who want to have a say in how to balance that with legal and ethical issues surrounding such data.

9. Other actors (individuals or organisations) who are involved in community-based activities around COVID-19 related data.

This document is intended to provide guidance and recommendations to the groups referenced above, considering their roles and the data challenges they might face:

1. Data subjects: Informed consent - including forms of dynamic consent as necessary - should be obtained from the data subjects before any personal data are collected from/about them and whenever there are changes to the data collection process, e.g. patients, citizens, general public.

2. Data processors/ data custodians/ data controllers: determine the purposes and methods of the processing of personal data, perform the data processing, including analysis, anonymisation, storing and preservation, sharing e.g. researchers, app developer, funders, policymakers, health authority.

3. Coordination and information management - documenting decision making, data workflows. The temporary nature of the disaster response stages that the COVID-19 context asks for often leaves limited time to establish a proper data management workflow and sufficient documentation of the data and decision-making process.

4. Public and science communication - providing easy to access and reliable data and information, dealing with misinformation, develop a proper approach to engage the community participation in data collection.

We anticipate many community participation topics to be relevant for the present COVID-19 context including but not limited to: collaborative data collection, collaborative service or software development initiatives, crowdsourcing of data curation services, data sovereignty when sharing across communities, citizen-led community responses, digital platforms, apps or other digital tools to enable public participation and/or offer open data. We particularly address two of them as use cases: app development for community-generated data and data challenges in participatory disaster response strategies.

What do we mean by app development for community-generated data?


2. Contact tracing apps (mobile phone tracking used to identify the potential geographic spread of COVID-19).
3. Services app (including service volunteers such as healthcare, shopping, entertainment, religious services).

What do we mean by participatory disaster response strategies and the related data challenges?


7.3 Policy Recommendations

7.3.1 Transparency, Community Participation and Data Governance

1. A balance must be achieved between timely testing and contact tracing, emergency response and community safety alongside individual privacy concerns such as surveillance, unauthorised use of personal data and forms of abuse that might result from the identification of subjects.
2. There is a strong need to establish appropriate and transparent governance mechanisms to have oversight of the data and its management. An open and transparent approach allows for the community to have a say and suggest improvements e.g. guidelines from the Ada Lovelace Institute (Ada Lovelace Institute, 2020).
3. Policymakers need to adopt an active approach to bridging communities and ensuring inputs are streamlined, perspectives from communities are considered, and widely communicated. The aim of linking communities and supporting communication is also designed to help coordination and avoid duplication of efforts since many communities are driving similar or complementary efforts to help the response to the current public health emergency.
4. Preparedness efforts should include provisions to enable web archiving of governmental, public health sector and emergency response websites.

7.3.2 Inclusive, Incremental and Multidisciplinary Approach

1. Consider data and data stewardship expertise as key resources for the detection, investigation and response to public health emergencies such as COVID-19. Encourage and facilitate the participation of data-focused organisations and communities to strategy and response networks.
2. Inclusivity and diversity of roles - ensure developers, data stewards, healthcare professionals, epidemiologists, researchers and the public are represented in the teams driving the development of the data collecting apps, participatory disaster response strategies and coordination platforms. App developers or users or responders with data-related roles are not always aware of all the ethical and legal implications of the data they gather and might not be familiar with protocols for collecting and sharing data.
3. Consider the use of the data - clinical, social etc. This will help identify useful standards and disciplinary norms, provide additional directions on the necessary contextual information and harmonised metadata which will allow reuse and sharing across various information systems. Other
sections of the RDA COVID-19 Recommendations and Guidelines provide further details on some of these.

4. Whenever possible, aim to reuse and share applicable recommendations that already exist for and from specific communities and/or types of data. To this end, adopt a standardised approach to identify existing guidance from specialised communities. E.g. the Global outbreak alert and response network (GOARN) and its COVID-19 Knowledge Hub covers Capacity Building and Training, Go.Data, Research, Risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) (Global outbreak alert and response network, 2020).

7.3.3 Legal and Ethical Aspects

1. Ethical considerations have to be made regarding the two-way sharing of information using mobile-tracking apps or similar technologies when managing data related to the identification and prevention of infection. These need to be embedded in the emergency response strategies and measures.

2. According to the humanitarian information management principles, information exchange should be a beneficial two-way process between the affected communities and the humanitarian community, including affected governments (Mackintosh, 2000). Therefore, it is crucial to also give timely feedback to communities during the participatory data collection and decision-making process. This requires additional control on data sharing and access management.

3. Adequate medical, social and emotional support networks need to be established before apps relay to users they may have been in close proximity to a COVID-19 positive individual. Data governance comes with accountability and the need to work with the relevant local, national and international authorities to ensure appropriate support networks are in place and the app coordinates with these authorities in such matters.

4. Make sensitive technical considerations such as transmitting anonymised codes as a means to alert individuals to exposure.

7.3.4 Software Development

Contact tracing apps should adhere to the same development recommendations as other software, particularly to build public trust (see Research Software and Data Sharing). It has been highlighted that scientists must openly share the code behind modelling software so that the results can be replicated and evaluated (Barton et al., 2020), and the transparency provided by open sharing can also address security concerns.

7.4 Guidelines

In the race against time to collect the data required to combat the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a risk that data are collected without sufficient attention to quality and reliability of data (e.g. level, or rather lack of, any basic provenance of the data, quality of the sources, versioning, metadata and level of maintenance). The research data community has been addressing these challenges, developing standards, vocabularies and ontologies, workflows and various disciplinary norms, as well as a key set of principles to ensure data quality, findability, accessibility, interoperability and reuse (FAIR). Implementing the FAIR data principles more widely and in more detail will ease sharing and increase efficiency, which is especially important considering the time constraints we are facing.
7.4.1 Data Collection

1. Encourage public and patient involvement (PPI) throughout the data management lifecycle from the inception of the research question, implementation of the data collection and final data sharing and usage.
2. Ensure apps and participatory response coordination platforms are developed with the research, emergency response and health care questions are the central concept and only gather data needed to address these questions.
3. Applications designed to collect data should be developed as open-source, with an early release on a public code repository, and made available under an open-source licence (c.f. section on Research Software in this report), to build confidence in the public about security and privacy. It also allows for the rapid identification and removal of vulnerabilities.
4. Protecting personal data are of the utmost importance when developing applications. Use protocols and methods that aim to protect personal data e.g. Decentralised Privacy-Preserving Proximity Tracing (DP-3T).

7.4.2 Data Quality and Documentation

Follow standardised ways of collecting and curating community-generated data securely, and select trustworthy data repositories as a way of standardising COVID-19 data whilst ensuring quality and facilitating sharing.

When collecting and curating the data, ensure detailed metadata are captured with the data and workflows are documented. A consolidated effort should be taken to include the following.

1. Protecting personal data is of key importance when developing applications. Use protocols and methods that aim to protect personal data, e.g. DP-3T.
2. Provide contextual metadata to help processing, visualisation, analysis, storage, publishing, archiving and reuse.
3. Include detailed descriptions of the methods to aid verification of results.
4. Include details on the consent and type of consent associated with the collected data.
5. Metadata should also include any retention (and deletion) obligations associated with the data.
6. Also, where possible consider including, as metadata, specific information on technological characteristics and their limitations (e.g. efficiency of the underlying app technology, e.g. Bluetooth versus GPS).
7. Develop, implement and share clear and working protocols/workflows for managing the data processing, especially during participatory crisis response, preferably automatic workflows with machine actionable data formats to maximise the data processing. Considering the diverse types and sources of data, structured processes for handling data intake and output allow all involved stakeholders to work efficiently.

7.4.3 Data Storage and Long-term Preservation

Consideration for long term storage and preservation of data generated from open government data for disaster response strategies, apps, other resources and response coordination platforms in relation to COVID-19, is not always apparent. For example, what are the retention periods that apply for COVID-19 related data of a specific kind in given legislation? Due to the unprecedented nature of this pandemic, much of this is only being considered on short time frames that do not allow for appropriate planning.
1. Ensure that prevailing local, national and international legal and ethical requirements for health data and medical studies and open government data, where applicable (e.g. for data retention periods), are adhered to as best as possible.

2. Ensure that provision is made to facilitate updating of the data collection, storage and preservation to meet any changes to existing requirements.

3. Long-term preservation should be considered in the case of high-value data that could help in retrospective modelling of the current pandemic or in modelling future ones. See 2.2.7 Use of Trustworthy Data Repositories.

4. Data should be available under an open licence that enables reuse, with CC0 as default, unless there are legal and ethical considerations indicating otherwise.

5. Consider the benefits and challenges of either a centralised or decentralised model for data storage and processing.
8. Indigenous Populations and Data Sharing

8.1 Focus and Description

Indigenous Peoples are acutely impacted by the negative social, economic, environmental and health outcomes of COVID-19 (UN Special Rapporteur on the rights of Indigenous Peoples, 2020). As such, it is vital that Indigenous Peoples are included in all aspects of pandemic-related research, research planning, and policy. Systemic policies, and historic and ongoing marginalisation, have led to Indigenous mistrust of agencies and the data/research they produce. To avoid increased distrust and harm, and to improve the quality and responsiveness of data activities, Indigenous data rights, priorities, and interests must be recognised in COVID-19 research activities throughout the data lifecycle, and in ownership of any resulting innovations. We must also acknowledge that the expression of self-determination varies substantially across nation states due to conditions within some nation states that undermine the ability of Indigenous Peoples to govern data or enact sovereignty over data.

The Indigenous Data Guidelines within this document have emerged through global collaborations with international Indigenous Peoples and Indigenous data governance advocates. They outline foundational obligations for funders, governments, researchers, and data stewards in the collection, ownership, application, sharing, and dissemination of Indigenous data, specifically in relation to COVID-19 related issues. These Guidelines are further articulated in the concept of Indigenous Data Sovereignty (see www.GIDA-global.org) and are underpinned by the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP).

The Indigenous Data Guidelines set out the requirements for Indigenous-designed data approaches and standards, inclusive of the rights to Indigenous data governance and decision-making within the planning and design of Indigenous data collection and sharing. The Indigenous Data Guidelines also highlight the inadequacy of personal and individual data privacy protections. For Indigenous Peoples, collective data privacy protections, supported via community controlled data infrastructure, are essential to ethical Indigenous data practices.

These Indigenous Data Guidelines apply across all sections of the RDA COVID 19 Guidelines and Recommendations.

8.2 Scope

The CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance (www.gida-global.org/care) set forth critical considerations for Indigenous rights and interests in data. Indigenous data, in general, comprise data, knowledge, and information that relate to Indigenous Peoples at both the individual and collective level, including data about lands and environment, people, and cultures. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Indigenous data include data about COVID-19 such as testing, cases, hospitalisations and health service access, deaths, and comorbidities, as well as related Indigenous Knowledges about COVID-19, socioeconomic, and environmental correlates. The CARE Principles -- Collective benefit, Authority to control, Responsibility, Ethics -- provide a framework for guiding engagement with Indigenous Peoples’ data during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.

Access to good quality data is a key driver for the implementation of the FAIR principles. The FAIR principles are data-centric, supporting greater data findability, accessibility, interoperability and reusability (Wilkinson et al., 2016). The FAIR principles facilitate increased data sharing among entities. However, they ignore
relationships, power differentials and the historical conditions associated with the collection of data that impact on ethical and socially responsible data use. The CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance speak to how data are used in ways that are purposeful and oriented towards enhancing the wellbeing of people. The CARE principles can find expression alongside the FAIR principles across the data lifecycle from collection to curation, from access to application.

8.3 Policy Recommendations and Guidelines

The CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance set forth minimal guidance for non-Indigenous policy makers, data stewards, researchers, aid groups, and others.

COLLECTIVE BENEFIT: “Data ecosystems shall be designed and function in ways that enable Indigenous Peoples to derive benefit from the data.”

1. “For inclusive development and innovation”
   Early conscious inclusion at all stages of data.

2. “For improved governance and citizen engagement”
   In many countries Indigenous Peoples carry a higher risk of pandemic-related harm, both to their health and livelihoods. COVID-19 is impacting all communities and the responses must recognise the importance of diversity in decision-making in order to advance culturally-informed pandemic policy planning and implementation. By involving Indigenous Peoples throughout the COVID-19 pandemic preparedness and response processes, there is an opportunity to limit negative outcomes and inform both current and future pandemic response planning.

3. “For equitable outcomes”
   Repositories that explicitly support Indigenous governance of Indigenous data that is collected or used as part of COVID-19 analyses or responses should be proactively identified and contribute to uncovering health systems gaps that can lead to improved future response measures.

AUTHORITY TO CONTROL: “Indigenous Peoples’ sovereign rights and interests in Indigenous data must be recognised and their authority to control such data be empowered. Indigenous data governance enables Indigenous Peoples and Nations, through their established governing bodies and mechanisms, to determine how Indigenous Peoples, as well as Indigenous lands, territories, resources, knowledges and geographical indicators, are represented and identified within data.”

1. “Recognising rights and interests”
   Indigenous Nations and Peoples governing bodies must be formally consulted prior to the development and implementation of policies and agreements pertaining to their Indigenous data that clearly state how and when Indigenous data are collected, analysed, accessed, used/reused, and reported. Permission to use and report on Indigenous Nations and Peoples must be granted by Indigenous governing bodies that have the authority to speak on their behalf. Disclosure of this information without permission is a violation of Indigenous sovereign rights and undermines Indigenous governance over matters that directly impact them.

2. “Data for governance”
   Indigenous leadership of data collection, ownership, sharing and use priorities is the central principle of Indigenous data sovereignty. Indigenous Peoples are in the best position to assess our own needs, priorities, and strengths and are informed by Indigenous responses to COVID-19 (see, for example,
Māori Response Action Plan, also see AIPP COVID-19 Response). As such, Indigenous Peoples need to be supported to lead and/or participate in the design of COVID-19 data systems that involve the collection, analysis, and sharing of Indigenous data. Given that the identification of Indigenous Peoples in data collections has too often led to serious harm and/or stigma, Indigenous Peoples should be able to exercise governance over COVID-19 data that derive from them, individually or collectively, regardless of who collects the data (e.g., government, private sector, researchers), or where they are held. This includes Indigenous data that are de-identified or anonymised for the purpose of sharing.

3. “Governance of data”
Existing Indigenous governance protocols, including those related to decision making over Indigenous data, must be recognised and adhered to during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indigenous governing bodies must continue to be formally consulted on data matters that impact their Nations and Peoples to ensure collective benefit and minimise harm from Indigenous data.

RESPONSIBILITY: “Those working with Indigenous data have a responsibility to share how those data are used to support Indigenous Peoples’ self-determination and collective benefit. Accountability requires meaningful and openly available evidence of these efforts and the benefits accruing to Indigenous Peoples.”

1. “For positive relationships”
Systemic changes must occur at all levels of government and within institutions that hold Indigenous data to ensure that policies and data sharing agreements are consistent with Indigenous priorities, are co-determined with Indigenous Peoples, and recognise Indigenous rights to control their data.

2. “For expanding capability and capacity”
Recognising that Indigenous communities have often been the first line of response and defence against COVID-19, proactive investment in Indigenous community-controlled data infrastructure is recommended in order to support community capacity and resilience, and improve the two-way flow of information essential for effective public health responses.

3. “For Indigenous languages and worldviews”
Indigenous knowledge and worldviews offer strength for localised contact tracing - local contact tracing is more likely to be stored in repositories that are governed by Indigenous people. Investments into decentralised contact tracing applications and infrastructure is needed to ensure that Indigenous Peoples can control narratives over their own contextualised realities.

ETHICS: “Indigenous Peoples’ rights and wellbeing should be the primary concern at all stages of the data life cycle and across the data ecosystem.”

1. “For minimising harm and maximising benefit”
Reporting of identifiable (e.g., ethnic, tribal affiliated, etc.) Indigenous COVID-19 data can contribute to racism and discrimination, hostility, reinforcement of negative stereotypes, and implicitly blame Indigenous Nations and Peoples for the spread of COVID-19. Indigenous Nations have the responsibility to provide for the safety and welfare of their peoples and nations by determining use/future use of their identifiable data, and how and with whom their information will be shared to minimise harm and maximise benefit that may result from public release of this information. Permission to use and report identifiable Indigenous data by others (e.g., national and state government, researchers, media, etc.) must be granted by Indigenous governing bodies that have the
authority to speak on behalf of Indigenous Nations and Peoples before their Indigenous data are reported.Disclosure of this information without permission is a violation of Indigenous sovereign rights.

2. “For justice”

Indigenous data disaggregation is supported by Indigenous communities (FNIGC, 2016), the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (2017), and by researchers (Kukutai et al., 2015; Madden et al., 2016). Every effort should be made to collect data that enables Indigenous Peoples to be identified in relation to COVID-19 outcomes should they desire it. Non-reporting or aggregation of Indigenous findings into regional populations can disguise the urgent needs of Indigenous Peoples and is a necessary condition for allowing Indigenous visibility and community/First Nation decision-making. But it is an insufficient condition on its own for monitoring the impacts of COVID-19. Disaggregated data, without Indigenous governance risks: pejorative judgements from governments/media/public, improper extrapolation of dominant population findings into Indigenous populations and risk of algorithms unreflectively applied to Indigenous data.

3. “For future use”

Indigenous data governance is also a prerequisite for determining appropriate future use of data. As contact tracing becomes a key tool in the fight against COVID-19 there has been a noticeable shift from paper based to electronic tracking, and to increasing centralisation. Mobile phone location tracking is also another tool being employed by nations and states to mitigate the spread of COVID-19. While electronic tracking systems have advantages in their ability to scale and include multiple inputs, they create an enduring record which in many countries do not as yet have an end date. These data, as well as other contact tracing data, can easily be repurposed for other activities. This form of function creep is of particular concern to Indigenous communities who recognise the immediate public health need but face deeper ongoing challenges associated with the use of surveillance as a tool of political oppression.
9. Research Software and Data Sharing

9.1 Focus and Description

It is important to put forward some key practices for the development and (re)use of research software, as doing so facilitates sharing and accelerates the production of results in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

We provide here a number of foundational, clear and practical recommendations around research software principles and practices, in order to facilitate the open collaborations that can contribute to addressing the current challenging circumstances. These recommendations aim to enable relatively small points of improvement across all aspects of software that will allow its swift (re)use, enabling the accelerated and reproducible research needed during this crisis. These recommendations highlight key points derived from a wide range of work on how to improve the management of software to achieve better research (Akhmerov et al., 2019; Anzt et al., 2020; Clément-Fontaine et al., 2019; Jiménez et al., 2017; Lamprecht et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2017).

9.2 Scope

These recommendations cover general practices, not details of particular technologies or software development tools. The recommendations in Section 9.5 (Guidelines for researchers) will not only help researchers improve their software quality and research reproducibility but also have an impact on policymakers, funders and publishers. The aim is that researchers follow the principles as thoroughly as possible, because doing so will improve the research environment for themselves and others. With the recommendations in Section 9.3 (Policy recommendations), we aim for policymakers and funders to realise the--sometimes behind the scenes--work around research software (e.g., documentation and maintenance). Such awareness will help them to create opportunities addressing, for instance, the acquisition of skills and the full development cycle. With the recommendations in Section 9.4 (Guidelines for publishers), we aim for publishers to push forward citable software so it becomes equal in recognition to data and scholarly publications as a research outcome.

Throughout this document we will be using software as a placeholder and interchangeably for compiled software (i.e., binaries) as well as for software source code (including, for example, analysis scripts and macros). When necessary to differentiate, we will make an explicit comment.

9.3 Policy Recommendations

Research software is essential for research, and this is increasingly recognised globally by researchers. This section provides recommendations for policymakers and funders on how to support the research software community to respond to COVID-19 challenges, based on existing work (Akhmerov et al., 2019; Anzt et al., 2020). National and international policy changes are now needed to increase this recognition and to increase the impact of the software in important research and policy areas. Additionally, given the impact that funding agencies can have in shaping research, it is equally important to ensure that research software is recognised and acknowledged as a direct and measurable outcome of funded efforts.

9.3.1 Support the funding of development and maintenance of critical research software

Policy makers and funders must continue to allocate financial resources to programs that support the development of new research software and the maintenance of research software that has a large user base
and/or an important role in a research area. By providing the resources that are necessary to adhere to best software development practices, policy makers and funders can increase overall software quality and usefulness. This can be done by making it easier for researchers to move from quick and makeshift coding to creating shared and reusable software, allowing implementation of recommendations detailed in Sections 9.5.4 (provide sufficient metadata/documentation) and 9.5.5 (ensure portability and reproducibility). Funding for software development will also enable anyone producing research software to take the time to produce and document it well, which also aligns with the recommendation in Section 9.5.4. After the software has been delivered, used and recognised by a sufficiently large group of users, human and financial resources should be allocated to support the regular maintenance of the software, for activities such as debugging, continuous improvement, documentation and training.

Examples: UK Research and Innovation is funding COVID-19 related projects that can include work focused on evaluation of clinical information and trials, spatial mapping and contact mapping tools (UK Research and Innovation, 2020). Mozilla has created a COVID-19 Solutions Fund for open source technology projects (Mozilla, 2020). USA’s National Institutes of Health (NIH) provides ”Administrative Supplements to Support Enhancement of Software Tools for Open Science” (NIH, 2020c). The Chan Zuckerberg Initiative is funding open source software projects that are essential to biomedical research (Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, 2020).

9.3.2 Encourage research software to be open source and require it to be available

Policy makers should enact policies that encourage software to be available under an open source software licence, or at least require the software to be accessible. All research software that is released under a licence ensures clarity of how it can be used and protects the copyright holders. The use of open source software licences should be seen as the default for research software in publicly funded efforts. If that is the case, it means that its underlying source code is made freely accessible, as encouraged by the “A” in FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) (Wilkinson et al., 2016) to users to examine; it can be modified and redistributed (depending on the licence conditions). Through this process, software users can review, understand, improve, and build upon the software. As research outcomes rely on software, if software is not open source it must minimally be available for testing with different inputs, to enable understanding of the software’s functionality and properties and to reproduce the research outcomes. Whilst preprints and papers are increasingly openly shared to accelerate COVID-19 responses, the software and/or source code for these papers is often not cited (Howison et al., 2016) and hard to find, making reproducibility of this research challenging, if not impossible (Smith et al., 2016). Encouraging publishers to make software availability a default condition, together with the usually existing requirement for data availability, is an excellent way to greatly improve this.

The policies and incentives recommended here will motivate researchers to implement recommendations in Sections 9.5.1 (make your software available), 9.5.2 (release your software under a licence) and 9.5.6 (publish snapshots of your software in an archival repository with persistent identifiers (PIDs)) from the good practices section, thus increasing findability, continued usefulness, and improvement of software.

Examples: The research community has been increasing access to key software and code, with a recent Science article calling for all scientists modelling COVID-19 and its consequences for health and society to rapidly and openly publish their code (Barton et al., 2020). High-profile examples include the Imperial College epidemic simulation model that is being utilised by government decision-makers, and was made publicly available with support by Microsoft to accelerate the process (Adam, 2020). The fact that it was open also meant it could be inspected and improved. This is an important point that emphasises the raising of quality and the foundation of trust in results.
9.3.3 Encourage the research community’s ability to apply best practices for research software, including training in software development concepts

Policy makers and funders should provide programs and funding opportunities that encourage both researchers and research support professionals (such as Research Software Engineers and Data Stewards) to utilise best practices to develop better software faster. In order to make research software understandable and reusable, it must be produced and maintained using standard practices that follow standard concepts, which can be applied to software ranging from researchers writing small scripts and models, to teams developing large, widely-used platforms. As research is becoming data-driven and collaborative in all areas, all researchers and key research support professionals would benefit from the development of core software expertise. Policy makers should support inclusive software skills and training programs, including development of communities of learners and trainers.

The introduction of such programs and funding opportunities will increase the overall understanding and adaptation of all recommendations from the good practices section among researchers. This supports the outcomes of the other three recommendations in this section. This also makes it easier for researchers to align to all the recommendations provided in Section 9.5 targeting good practices for research software.

**Examples**: There are various initiatives that link community members with specific digital skills to projects needing additional support, including Open Source Software helpdesk for COVID-19 (Caswell et al., 2020) and COVID-19 Cognitive City (Grape, 2020). Other initiatives aim to increase skills for engaging with software and code, such as the Carpentries (Carpentries, 2020), USA’s NIH events (NIH, 2020); and the Galaxy Community and ELIXIR’s webinar series (ELIXIR, 2020).

9.3.4 Support recognition of the role of software in achieving research outcomes

Policy makers should enact policies and programs that recognise the important role of research software in achieving research outcomes. It is important that policy makers encourage the development of research assessment systems that reward software outputs, alongside publications, data and other research objects. It is equally critical that funders ensure that data and software management plans are a requirement in funding processes. It is also important that policy makers work to ensure these systems include proactive responses when these are not implemented. Enacting such policies will encourage researchers to implement recommendations in Sections 9.5.1 (make your software available), 9.5.3 (cite the software you use) and 9.5.6 (publish snapshots of your software in an archival repository with persistent identifiers (PIDs)) from the good practices section, thus creating a self-strengthening system of incentives for the development of high-quality software.

**Examples**: Policy makers need to support initiatives such as the Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA, 2016), which are beginning to be utilised by research agencies including Wellcome (Wellcome, 2020), signatories to the Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers (Vitae, 2020).

9.4 Guidelines for Publishers

A key component of better research is better software. Publishers can play an important role in changing research culture, and have the ability to make policy changes to facilitate increased recognition of the importance of software in research. This section provides recommendations for publishers on how to support the research software community to respond to COVID-19 challenges.
9.4.1 Require that software citations be included in publication

It is essential that the role of software in achieving research outcomes is supported. Treating research software as a first class research object in a scholarly publication is a very effective mechanism for implementing this, as it increases the visibility and credit to the research software developers (for example by enabling academic and commercial citation services and/or databases, such as Google Scholar, Scopus and Microsoft Academic) (Smith et al., 2016).

**Examples:** The FORCE11 Software Citation Implementation Working Group (Chue Hong et al., 2017) has been leading work in this area for 3+ years, and currently has a journals task force that is developing sample language for journals to use. The American Astronomical Society (AAS) Journals encourage software citation in several ways (explicit software policy, added the LaTeX \software{} tag to emphasise code used, etc.) (AAS Journals, 2020).

9.4.2 Require that software developed for a publication is deposited in a repository that supports Persistent Identifiers

For publishers to ensure that the research they publish is reproducible, software developed as part of the work reported in a submission must also be findable. Publishers should require such software to be deposited in an archival repository that supports PIDs such as Zenodo (CERN, 2020) and Figshare (FigShare, 2020). These repositories provide PIDs that can be directly included in the citation and referenced in a publication, supporting research integrity (Di Cosmo et al., 2018). If the software is deposited along with data (DataCite, 2020), as recommended in certain communities of practice, the selected data repository should provide a PID for the collection. Several versions of the software can be tagged with PIDs and, thus, if multiple versions are used for research, having different PIDs ensures reproducibility.

**Example:** The Journal of Open Source Software (JOSS, 2020) review process requires authors to make a tagged release of the software after acceptance, and deposit a copy of the repository with a data-archiving service such as Zenodo or figshare. This is part of the guidance from the FORCE11 Software Citation Implementation Working Group (Chue Hong et al., 2017). The GigaScience journal is another example of publication requiring the availability of software (GigaScience, 2020).

9.4.3 Align submission requirements of software publishers to research software best practices

Recently research software has gained a more prominent place in publishing and some journals specialise in publishing software and software papers. In order to make research software understandable and reusable, it must be produced and maintained using standard practices that follow standard concepts. This can be applied to software ranging from researchers writing small scripts and models to teams developing large, widely-used platforms. As publishing is an integral part of research, software publishers should enact policies and adopt submission procedures, including appropriate software review processes, that encourage and support these practices, for example through adopting or adapting software management statements similarly to the widely adopted data management statements.

**Example:** The Journal of Open Source Software requires software to be open source and be stored in a repository that can be cloned without registration, is browsable online without registration, has an issue tracker that is readable without registration and permits individuals to create issues/file tickets (JOSS, 2020); SoftwareX submission process includes two mandatory metadata tables that include licence and code availability (Elsevier, 2020).
9.5 Guidelines for Researchers

These guidelines aim at supporting researchers with key practices that foster the development and (re)use of research software, as these facilitate code sharing and accelerated results in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This section will be relevant to audiences ranging from researchers and research software engineers with comparatively high levels of knowledge about software development to experimentalists, such as wet-lab and other researchers in a range of disciplines, writing scripts or macros with almost no background in software development.

9.5.1 Make your software available

Making software that has been developed available is essential for understanding your work, allowing others to check if there are errors in the software, be able to reproduce your work, and ultimately, build upon your work. The key point here is to ensure that the source code itself is shared and freely available (see information about licences below), through a platform that supports access to it and allows you to effectively track development with versioning (e.g., code repositories such as GitHub (GitHub Inc., 2020), Bitbucket (Atlassian, 2020), GitLab (GitLab, 2020), etc.). Furthermore, if using third-party software (proprietary or otherwise), researchers should share and make available the software source code (e.g., analysis scripts) they produce (even if they do not have the intellectual property rights to share the software platform or application itself).

Resources:

Four Simple Recommendations to Encourage Best Practices in Research Software (Jiménez et al., 2017).

FAIR Research Software - code repositories (eScience Center, 2020).

9.5.2 Release your software under a licence

Software is typically protected by Copyright in most countries, with copyright often held by the institution in which the work was performed rather than the developer themself. By providing a licence for your software, you grant others certain freedoms, i.e., you define what they are allowed to do with your code. Free and Open Software licences typically allow the user to use, study, improve and share your code. You can licence all the software you write, including scripts and macros you develop on proprietary platforms.

Resource: Choose an Open Source License (Choose a licence, 2020).

9.5.3 Cite the software you use

It is good practice to acknowledge and cite the software you use in the same fashion as you cite papers to both identify the software and to give credit to its developers. For software developed in an academic setting, this is the most effective way of supporting its continued development and maintenance because it matches the current incentives of that system.

Resource: Software Citation Principles (Smith et al., 2016).

9.5.4 Provide metadata/documentation for others to use your software

(Re)using code/software requires knowledge of two main aspects at minimum: environment and expected input/output. The goal is to provide sufficient information that computational results can be reproduced and may require a minimum working example.

9.5.5 Ensure portability and reproducibility of results

It is critical, especially in a crisis, for software that is used in data analysis to produce results that can, if necessary, be reproduced. This requires automatic logging of all parameter values (including setting random seeds to predetermined values), as well as establishing the requirements in the environment (dependencies, etc). Container systems such as Docker or Singularity can replicate the exact environment for others to run software/code in.

**Resource:**

Ten Simple Rules for Writing Dockerfiles for Reproducible Data Science ([Nüst et al., 2020](#)).

Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research ([Sandve et al., 2013](#)).

9.5.6 Publish snapshots of software in an archival repository with persistent identifiers (PIDs)

Equally important to making the source code available is providing a means of preserving and referring to it in the long-term ([Di Cosmo et al., 2018](#)). For this reason, software should be deposited within a repository that supports persistent identifiers (PIDs - a specific example being DOIs), allows for robust metadata and discovery mechanisms, and provides more persistent storage than the code development and collaboration platforms mentioned in Section 8.5.1. Such repositories include Zenodo ([CERN, 2020](#)) and Figshare ([FigShare, 2020](#)). There are communities of practice that encourage deposition of software (e.g., analysis scripts) and data in one submission. In those circumstances the selected data repository ([DataCite, 2020](#)) should provide a PID for the collection. For reproducibility purposes, and if legally allowed, dependencies should also be included in the software deposition. When publishing research results, include a formal citation to the software including a reference to the PID.
10. Legal and Ethical Considerations

10.1 Focus and Description

The intention of these guidelines is to help researchers, practitioners and policy-makers deal with the ethical and legal aspects of pandemic response and in particular with regard to key ethical values of equity, utility, efficiency, liberty, reciprocity and solidarity (WHO, 2007; UNESCO, 2020; European Group on Ethics in Science and New Technologies, 2020). In times of public health emergency, it is appropriate to consider how best to respond in terms of increased data and research outcome sharing. However, it is important that legal and ethical principles are incorporated into research design from the outset. The law supports research and enables data sharing (EDPB, 2020). Compliance with the law protects individual researchers, research more generally and the common good. The rule of law cannot be overlooked, therefore, and needs to be taken into consideration along with respect for overarching concerns related to human rights and dignity (Council of Europe, 2020). Especially where marginalisation or other forms of stigmatisation are at stake, these rights and values should inform appropriate research practices directed towards the common good.

The aim is to identify and collate existing recommendations and guidelines on legal and ethical issues in order to increase the speed of scientific discovery by enabling researchers and practitioners to:

1. Readily identify the guidance and resources they need to support their research work
2. Understand generic and cross-cutting ethical and legal considerations
3. Appreciate country- or region-specific differences in policy or legal instruments
4. Identify the institutional stakeholders best placed to provide relevant ethical and legal guidance.

10.2 Scope

The COVID-19 pandemic has created significant confusion for researchers in terms of whether, and in which way, existing ethical and legal principles remain relevant. The COVID pandemic does not serve to remove the basic validity of the rights and interests on which these documents and principles are based. In other words, formal protocols for conducting research are required both during a pandemic and at other times, unless otherwise modified by the relevant authorities. The emergency does, however, mandate a reconsideration of the balance between these rights and interests - in particular between a research subject’s right to privacy and the public interest in the outcome of research. In some cases, this reconsideration has led to legitimate time limited adaptations of, or derogation from, normally applicable principles.

The assumption here is that there will be an official statement from WHO of when the international community deems the pandemic to have ended. This may then vary by country. Irrespective of official statement, the necessity and proportionality of any interference with fundamental rights and interests may shift as circumstances change. It will be important to evaluate the continued justification for particular trade-offs at regular intervals in dynamic situations.
10.3 Policy Recommendations

10.3.1 Initial Recommendations

1. Access to research and research outcomes should be shared with all where possible and in particular, thinking of vulnerable groups and the general focus on solidarity, encouraging the engagement and trust of all participants including vulnerable groups.

2. Ethical guidelines on data collection, analysis, sharing and publication should not be confined to clinical and biological (Omics) data. Such guidelines should also extend to all areas of Open Science.

3. In the spirit of the Open COVID Pledge (2020), organisations with potentially useful datasets outside the research communities should be encouraged to make those data available to those research communities during emergency, pandemic situations.

4. Ethical and legal policies should be drawn up to monitor and regulate the impact of algorithmic profiling and data analytics, not least in terms of design and implementation.

5. During a pandemic or similar public emergency, ethical review and approval should be expedited, optionally but beneficially involving the public in approval decisions.

6. Policy making should be underpinned by empirical research (evidence based) such that decision makers are held to account.

7. Provide guidance and support for non-research organisations to make the data they hold available to the research community.

8. All stakeholders (researchers, policy-makers, editors, funders and so forth) should encourage communication across all disciplines and all areas in the spirit of Open Science.

9. All stakeholders (researchers, editors, funders and so forth) should lobby for regulatory change where existing regulation prevents appropriate data access and sharing.

10. All stakeholders (especially researchers) should be encouraged to publicise practical guidance and advice from their own experience of working through regulatory processes in support of their research.

10.3.2 Relevant Policy and Non-Policy Statements

The RDA COVID-19 Ethical-Legal group endorses and recommends guidance published as follows:

1. The OECD Privacy Principles (OECD, 2010).

2. The UNESCO International Bioethics Committee (IBC) and World Commission on the Ethics of Scientific Knowledge and Technology (COMEST) in their STATEMENT ON COVID-19: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS FROM A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE (UNESCO, 2020).

3. The Council of Europe points to national resources from national ethics committees or other related to COVID-19: (Council of Europe Bioethics, 2020a).

4 Cf. the Green / Amber / Red system of risk assessment applied in the UK

10.4 Guidelines

10.4.1 Cross-Cutting Principles

In addition to following the FAIR principles, all activities, especially in times of pandemic or other public emergencies, should be guided by:

1. The CARE (Collective benefits, Authority to control, Responsibility and Ethics) principles to ensure ethical treatment of individuals and communities (Global Indigenous Data Alliance, 2019)
2. The Global Code of Conduct, specifically Fairness, Respect, Care and Honesty in research activities, to maximise equanimity in research outcome benefit (Schroeder et al., 2020)
3. The Five Safes of research data governance (UK Data Service, 2020; Ritchie, 2008)

10.4.2 Hierarchy of Obligations

Ethics and the law exist in a symbiotic, mutually supportive relationship. Ethical and legal considerations related to research are elaborated in four key types of documents: ethical guidelines; policy guidance; codes of conduct; and legal instruments. The distinction between these types of instrument is not always obvious. Regulatory agencies (such as Supervisory Authorities in the EU) do respond to requests for support and clarification. It is therefore recommended that where necessary, researchers work together with the relevant authority to resolve any perceived barriers.

The following principles may prove useful for COVID-19 researchers considering the interaction between instruments:

1. Ethical guidelines are often defined and published by non-law-making bodies, while legal instruments will be adopted by governments or other legislative bodies.
2. Many ethical instruments are de facto mandatory for researchers or clinicians, such as those imposed by professional associations or bodies, healthcare institutions, or governmental and funding agencies.
3. Instruments exist in a hierarchy, with legal instruments being generally assumed to take precedence over ethical guidance and policy guidance.

4. Jurisprudence and other official guidelines providing authoritative interpretations of legal instruments will often be complementary to related ethical instruments. In the case of a dispute, however, the rule of law will prevail.

5. Both legal and ethical instruments should be consulted together to understand all the pertinent issues which need to be taken into consideration.

6. Ethical instruments are generally interpreted harmoniously with the law, and can guide the interpretation of the law if the law does not address a particular issue.

Common obligations in using health or health-related data that are found in many laws and ethical guidelines include the following:

1. All research projects using human data must be approved by an independent research ethics board (or research ethics committee, or institutional research board) prior to the recruitment of participants and the collection of data.

2. All research projects using human data should comply with local legal obligations as outlined in the following.

3. The obligation to respect confidentiality.

4. The obligation to ensure data accuracy.

5. The obligation to limit the identifiability of personal data as far as possible - including via pseudonymisation techniques.

6. The obligation to use anonymised data instead of personal data, or minimise personal data use, or de-identify where possible.

7. The need to process for a specific, authorised, purpose and only to process for secondary purposes provided certain conditions are fulfilled and not processing for purposes beyond scientific research / healthcare; e.g., not sharing with employers or other agencies unless mandated by law.

8. The obligation to inform individuals about the processing of their data.

9. To hold oneself accountable to, and remain transparent towards, the individuals concerned by the data used.

10. To provide individuals access to their data, and to rectify errors or biases in the data on request.

11. To allow individuals to object to the processing of their data if required by law.

12. To provide individuals the opportunity to request the deletion or return of their data in certain circumstances if this is possible or required by law.

---

5 Some EU Member States, for example, allow for data to be held indefinitely when used for scientific and research purpose.
13. The obligation to ensure that data are collected from representative sub-populations and not confined to one group\(^6\).

14. The obligation to ensure equal treatment across cohorts to:
   14.1. Prevent marginalisation of vulnerable groups
   14.2. Encourage engagement from vulnerable groups

15. The obligation to share data and the benefits of research outcomes fairly and without regard to discipline, region or country (UNESCO International Bioethics Committee, 2015).

16. The obligation to apply legal and ethical practice to all stages of data collection, processing, analysis, reporting and sharing.

17. The obligation for data providers as well as data users to validate and verify the provenance of data, and ensure appropriate consent or other legal basis for the data’s use.

18. The obligation to ensure that de-identified or aggregated data made public does not contain data elements or rich metadata that could reasonably lead to the identification of specific persons.

19. To validate that data sharing respects the applicable legal requirements, e.g. conclusion of data sharing agreements and/or verifying the legality of a data transfer abroad.

20. To consider the legitimacy of the further retention and use of data on persons collected during a public emergency without informed consent, following the emergency.

Such obligations are formalised through ethical guidance (UNESCO, 2005; Council of Europe, 1999, 2010; NHS, 2013). Especially in times of pandemic, specific attention to vulnerable groups and guidance on related global justice issues are to be commanded.

### 10.4.3 Seeking Guidance

In times of pandemic or other public emergencies, it is important to be aware of existing and ad hoc resources and guidance. For example:

1. Researchers attached to an academic institution may find guidance from the following (if available at a particular institution):
   1.1. Research Ethics Boards (REBs), such as an Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Research Ethics Committee (REC), will provide guidance; in some cases, they will review, require modification, approve or stop a research project
   1.2. The Information Governance Board will provide support on data management
   1.3. The Data Protection Officer will provide support and guidance on data protection issues
   1.4. Data and Biospecimen Access Committees will advise on sharing or providing access to data, as well as Intellectual Property issues

---

\(^6\) E.g., the traditional white, Caucasian upper-middle-class male.
1.5. Technology transfer offices provide guidance regarding intellectual property and related issues.

1.6. If such bodies are not available at the researcher's home institution the UN Ethics office or national ethics office may be contacted for further support (The United Nations, 2020).

2. For professionals affiliated to a professional body, the latter will provide guidance on ethical research activities.

3. For medical or other clinical staff, the institution (such as a hospital) will provide research integrity support, including ethical approvals required and ad hoc mechanisms to support emergency research efforts; or the appropriate governing body (e.g., the National Health Service [NHS] in the UK) will provide training and support both ongoing and in exceptional circumstances.

4. Hospitals, much like academic institutions, are often staffed by a Data Protection Officer, personnel specialised in research ethics including REBs, and administrators responsible for authorising the sharing of health data.

Researchers and other professionals should always consult their institutional support personnel as well as professional bodies. Often in cases of health emergencies such as the COVID-19 pandemic, fast track procedures are put in place, allowing the approval processes to be accelerated without diminishing the protection of the rights of persons.

10.4.4 Anonymisation

Data will generally be anonymous if they cannot be used to identify a person by all means likely reasonably to be used (Article 29 Working Party on Data Protection, 2007, 2014, 2015). It should be noted, however, that various jurisdictions define the threshold for anonymity differently (for example, the USA). Assessment of all the means reasonably likely to be used must consider not only the data on its own but also the possibility of combination with other accessible data, including by third parties.

The consequence of rendering data anonymous will often be that certain ethical and legal obligations which usually apply to identifiable data will no longer apply. In particular, anonymisation will usually render data protection law inapplicable. With large datasets, and especially where datasets are cross-correlated, absolute anonymity will often be very hard to achieve. Researchers may thus need to take into account the possibility of future re-identification (see Phillips et al., 2016).

In the European Union, for example, anonymous data falls outside the scope of data protection legislation (GDPR, 2016). A number of tools are available which claim to anonymise personal data, such as sdcMicro (Templ et al., 2020) (See also NHS, 2018). However, there are a number of considerations when dealing with data which is said to be anonymous or anonymised. If data are not fully anonymised, then they will usually fall within the scope of data protection legislation (GDPR, Recital 26) and so therefore require closer controls and management. Check the following recommendations.

1. **De-identified** data can refer to data where personal identifiers have been removed (e.g. US HIPAA). However, there is still some risk that such data may lead to re-identification especially if combined with other data. Generally, de-identification refers to the process of reducing data identifiability rather than the identifiability of the resulting data (Phillips et al., 2016).

---

7 Sometimes referred to as de-personalised
2. **Pseudonymised data** are data where personal identifiers have been changed or removed (i.e., personal names and locations obscured). There is a separate key, index, or technological process which links the pseudonymous id code to an individual. The pseudonymisation of data will not reduce the data protection obligations in the data but can be a requirement to the lawful use of data in some jurisdictions and ethical regimes, where practicable (e.g. GDPR).

3. **Data that Cannot be Re-personalised**: Some jurisdictions, such as the EU, recognise a median status for data that remains identifiable by law, but that the controller is not able to reidentify (GDPR Art. 11). For instance, pseudonymised data that the controller does not hold the ‘reidentification key’ to. Controllers still need to safeguard such data, but have more relaxed obligations regarding the rights of the concerned individuals.

4. **Qualitative data** are difficult to anonymise because there may be indicators such as the combination of a location and an employment type which could make it easier to identify an individual or small cohort of individuals.

5. **Data analytics** describes a collection of data processing methods which use large amounts of data (big data) to derive models and predictions about future behaviours or activity. Data analytics introduce some risk of re-identification:
   5.1. **Cross-referencing or Cross-correlation**: when data are aggregated or correlated with other data, then the likelihood of being able to identify an individual, especially an outlier, increases.
   5.2. **Comorbidities**: for clinical data, where multiple conditions may present for an individual, this also increases the likelihood of being able to identify that individual.

6. **Statistical Disclosure Control** refers to methods used to reduce the risk of re-identification. They are encouraged when sharing or publishing data, and when publishing research outcomes (Willenborg et al., 2001; Griffiths et al., 2019).

Our overall **Recommendations on Anonymity include**:

- Check with your institution, data protection officer or authority, and institutional review board to determine local definitions of the terms (e.g., anonymous, pseudonymised, de-identified etc.)

1. Check what the local (national) expectations are: *a data subject will usually expect their data to be processed in compliance with local instruments.*

2. Check with the controller or data user what they claim the status of the data to be (anonymous, de-identified, pseudonymised, etc.). Nonetheless, as data identifiability can shift from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, and relative to the factual circumstances of its use, it is prudent not to rely on any representations made by third parties regarding the identifiability of their data.

3. Carry out a re-identification risk assessment before
   3.1. Combining one or more datasets
   3.2. Sharing or publishing data, or publishing research findings quoting examples of the data.
4. Carry out an impact assessment\(^8\) in regard to the impact on the data subject (the individual identified) before disclosure or publication, and introduce additional measures (Statistical Disclosure Control) to mitigate the risk.

10.4.5 Consent

*Consent* is the act by which a participant, patient or data subject indicates that they permit something to happen to them, or to their data, which would otherwise not be able to happen. It covers a number of different specific contexts:

1. **Clinical**: a patient agrees to undergoing a procedure, including taking part in a trial
2. **Data Protection**: a data subject agrees to personal data being processed for specified purposes
3. **Research**: a participant agrees to take part in a research study or experiment.

In both cases, the informed consent sheets for clinical or research purposes would explicitly set out how data protection will be handled, as well as samples or biobanking, rights to self-images and others.

Giving consent should be informed (e.g. the individual knows what is going to happen and why), freely given (there is no coercion or similar motivation), given by somebody with capacity, unambiguous and auditable (the consent is recorded somewhere) (See also [Parra-Calderón, 2018](#)). Depending on the jurisdiction and the research domain, there may be an additional requirement to seek consent. This may include a representative community board as well as participants themselves.

Ideally, consent should be sought for collecting, processing, sharing and publishing data. However, there are other legal bases for processing personal data. Some specific examples from the European General Data Protection Regulation ([GDPR, 2016](#)) are described below. Our recommendation would therefore be as follows:

1. Where possible, use data where the data subject has provided a valid consent that includes or is compatible with intended use of the data and complies with the requirements on consent in the specific country or region.

Where these are not possible, there are other reasons why data may be used (see [Hallinan, 2020](#), [Ó Cathaoir et al., 2020](#)). For example, there may be a different legal basis for using personal data.

2. If using personal data, check whether there may be another basis for using the data.

In Europe, for instance, the GDPR provides other legal bases for processing personal data, we suggest:

1. **Vital Interests** (Art. 6(1)(d), and Art. 9(2)(c)): it may not be practical, feasible or possible to contact the data subject. However, to protect the *vital interests* of other natural persons the data needs to be interrogated and used.

In addition, there are other provisions for both personal data:

2. **Public Task** (Art. 6(1)(e)).

and special category data:

---

\(^8\) What would be the impact to the data subject if they were identified from the data you hold.
3. **Public Interest** (Art. 9(2)(g))
4. **Preventive Medicine** (Art. 9(2)(h))
5. **Public Health** (Art. 9(2)(i))
6. **Public Interest, Scientific or Historical Research Purposes or Statistical Purposes** (Art. 9(2)(j)).

There is adequate provision, therefore, in the current regulation and its derivatives. In other jurisdictions, there may be other provisions which could be used. Their potential applicability in a specific case should be carefully examined.

10.4.6 Licensing Data and Licensing Software

In releasing data or software for restricted or open use, it is recommended to apply a licence that clarifies the permissions inherent in the data or software. Releasing data or software without an associated licence can create uncertainties as to the permissions inherent in the data that may discourage prospective users from using the data or software. Further details about software licensing can be found in section 9.5.2. For data sharing, it is generally recommended to use an open licence or public dedication to license data that is intended for unrestricted public use.

Choosing the most appropriate licence or similar instrument can be challenging. Certain licences and public domain dedications provide no attribution requirements or use limitations. Examples include CC0 and the Open Data Commons Public Domain Dedication. Other open data licences impose certain limitations on data reuse, and can require the attribution of data authorship in a standardised format. Such licences include CC-BY 4.0., the Linux Community Data License Agreement – Sharing, and the Open Data Commons Attribution License. ([Bernier et al., 2020](#)). Further documentation to help you choose a data or software licence can be found [www.chooseallicence.com](http://www.chooseallicence.com) (Choose a licence, 2020)

Attribution licences foster accountability on the part of data depositors, and can incentivise increased data sharing. Using fully open licences or public domain dedications can promote interoperability and ensure that data will not be subject to incompatible restrictions or use requirements. Data that are anticipated for big data analytic use or use in conjunction with a large number of other datasets, independently sourced, may be best served by a fully open licence that imposes no attribution requirement.

Identifiable personal data and health data can require more restrictive licensing schemes in combination with appropriate data governance to best safeguard the ethico-legal privacy rights of the individuals concerned by the data. Further, it is a recommended best practice to ensure that the licences applied to data are compatible with any contractual or legal obligations of data users, including the obligations imposed by research funding agreements or employment contracts.

10.4.7 The 5 Safes Model

The 5 Safes Model was developed by staff working at the Office for National Statistics (UK) to be an easy to implement sensitive data management framework ([Ritchie, 2008](#)). It has subsequently been adopted by numerous Research Data Centres around the World.

The ambition of the 5 Safes Model is to achieve the ‘Safe Use’ of research data by accounting for five potential areas of risk to data subject confidentiality.

**Safe People** - Who is going to be accessing the data?
1. Safe People should have the right motivations for accessing research data.
2. Safe People should also have sufficient experience to work with the data safely.
3. Researchers may need to undergo specific training before using sensitive or confidential research data to become Safe People.

**Safe Projects** - What is the purpose of accessing the data?
1. Safe Projects are those that have a valid research purpose with a defined ‘public benefit’.
2. It must not be possible to realise this benefit without access to the data.

**Safe Settings** - Where will the data be accessed?
1. Access controls should be proportionate to the level of risk contained with the data
2. Sensitive or confidential data should only be accessed via a suitable Safe Setting.
3. Safe Settings should have safeguards in place to minimise the risk that unauthorised people could access the data.

**Safe Data** - What does the data contain?
1. Safe Data will present minimal risk possible to the confidentiality of the data subjects.
2. The minimisation of risk could be achieved by removing direct identifiers, aggregating values, banding variables, or other statistical techniques that make re-identification more difficult. However, the loss of detail may limit the usefulness of the dataset.
3. Sensitive or confidential data should not be considered to be safe because of the residual risk to data subject confidentiality; however it is often the most useful for research.

**Safe Outputs** – What will be produced from the data?
1. Research that is generated from data may form derived outputs; these could include statistics, graphs/charts, or reports.
2. Outputs generated from the use of sensitive or confidential data should only be released if they report statistical findings and cannot be used to reveal the identity of a data subject nor enable the association of confidential information to a data subject.
3. Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC) is often used to minimise the risk of releasing confidential information.
4. Researchers and/or the institution managing the use of the data should check outputs (apply SDC) before publication to ensure they do not present undue risk. The intended outputs should have formed part of any application for ethical approval.

**10.4.8 Vulnerable Groups**

The overall motivation in producing these guidelines and recommendations has emphasised the open and timely sharing of research data. There is an important consideration, however, when dealing with groups and not just individual participants. Vulnerable groups may include ethnic minorities like Roma or Sinti, or others such as children, migrants or refugees or those with mental or physical disabilities. They often are
disproportionately affected by unequal access to health and preventative services. As well as the Indigenous populations discussed in Section 8 above, such groups should be given additional consideration.

First of all these vulnerable groups should be considered for inclusion in research, clinical trials, testing and epidemiology surveys with the same opportunities as others; individuals in such groups also have the same rights as others to information, access to results where pertinent, and protection of privacy. Specific measures to be inclusive of such groups should be put in place.

This is also true in terms of licensing as well as the collecting, processing and sharing of data (Taylor et al., 2017, Mental Health Europe, 2020). Although a general recommendation would be to use a permissive licence (such as CC 0 mentioned in Section 10.4.6 above), it is important to remember that licences are not aimed at protecting the rights and expectations of individuals or groups represented in the data. For instance, advanced data analytic techniques may identify previously de-identified individuals themselves (Zheng et al., 2011; Bedagkar-Gala et al., 2014), or groupings among individual parties in the dataset which they were unaware of (O'Neil, 2016; see also Boyd et al., 2012). This could lead to stigmatisation and marginalisation (van Aasche et al., 2013). Therefore, when choosing a licence or when reviewing the ethical implications of sharing data, it is important to consider vulnerable groups and ensure their interests are respected. This of necessity includes data which are not typically thought of as personal data. For example, identifying rare vegetation or animals associated with an Indigenous group may help pinpoint their location and therefore expose them to risk.
11. Glossary

This glossary is intended to aid readers in understanding the meaning of selected terms as they are used in this document, and does not represent a consensus of CWG on the best definition of each term, nor an attempt to necessarily include all, or even the most important, alternative definitions. The definitions provided here, instead, are intended to reflect the meanings most relevant to the context of this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Synonym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>With regard to research data, the continued, available for use, ongoing usability of a digital resource, retaining all qualities of authenticity, accuracy and functionality deemed to be essential for the purposes the digital material was created and/or acquired for. Users who have access can retrieve, manipulate, copy, and store copies on a wide range of hard drives and external devices (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Controls</td>
<td>Given a data object name, access controls define access relationships between the following metadata: data object name, a user name (or user group, or user role), and access permission. The information can be stored as metadata information associated with each data object. The information can be generated dynamically by applying the access controls of the collection that organises the data objects (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm</td>
<td>In computing, a detailed sequence of steps which, when followed, will accomplish a task (Coltness Computing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymisation</td>
<td>The process of removing personal identifiers, both direct and indirect, that may lead to an individual being identified. An individual may be directly identified from their name, address, postcode, telephone number, photograph or image, or some other unique personal characteristic. An individual may be indirectly identifiable when certain information is linked together with other sources of information, including, their place of work, job title, salary, their postcode or even the fact that they have a particular diagnosis or condition (University College London).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archiving</td>
<td>A curation activity that ensures that data are properly selected, stored, and can be accessed, and for which logical and physical integrity are maintained over time, including security and authenticity. Web archiving follows the same processes to capture web-published data for posterity. (revised from CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assay</td>
<td>To perform an examination on a chemical in order to test how pure it is (Cambridge Dictionary).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Data</td>
<td>An evolving term that describes any voluminous amount of structured, semi-structured and unstructured data that have the potential to be mined for information (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biobank</td>
<td>A repository that stores biological samples and associated information organised in a systematic way for research purposes (revised from ScienceDirect).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Report</td>
<td>The scientific documentation of a single clinical observation with a time-honored and rich tradition in medicine and scientific publication (NCBI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Science</td>
<td>Citizen science is the practice of public participation and collaboration in scientific research to increase scientific knowledge. Through citizen science, people share and contribute to data monitoring and collection programs (National Geographic).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Study</td>
<td>Any investigation in relation to humans intended: (a) to discover or verify the clinical, pharmacological or other pharmacodynamic effects of one or more medicinal products; (b) to identify any adverse reactions to one or more medicinal products; or (c) to study the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of one or more medicinal products; with the objective of ascertaining the safety and/or efficacy of those medicinal products (Clinical Trial Regulation N.536/2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>A clinical study which fulfills any of the following conditions: (a) the assignment of the subject to a particular therapeutic strategy is decided in advance and does not fall within normal clinical practice of the Member State concerned; (b) the decision to prescribe the investigational medicinal products is taken together with the decision to include the subject in the clinical study; or (c) diagnostic or monitoring procedures in addition to normal clinical practice are applied to the subjects (Clinical Trial Regulation N.536/2014).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Computing</td>
<td>A large-scale distributed computing paradigm that is driven by economies of scale, in which a pool of abstracted, virtualised, dynamically scalable, managed computing power, storage, platforms and services are delivered on demand to external customers over the Internet (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>A group that is part of a clinical trial or study and is observed over a period of time (National Cancer Institute).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Participation</td>
<td>Involves both theory and practice related to the direct involvement of citizens or citizen action groups potentially affected by or interested in a decision or action (Springer Link).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compassionate Use</td>
<td>A treatment option that allows the use of an unauthorised medicine. Under strict conditions, products in development can be made available to groups of patients who have a disease with no satisfactory authorised therapies and who cannot enter clinical trials (European Medicines Agency).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound Library</td>
<td>A collection of molecules that are synthesised with the aim that they represent a given fraction of the theoretically possible chemical compounds that have yet been made. Research is focused on both the generation of libraries and on new methodology to screen them in the search for new or improved properties (Nature).</td>
<td>Chemical Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential Information</td>
<td>Any information obtained by a person on the understanding that they will not disclose it to others, or obtained in circumstances where it is expected that they will not disclose it (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidentiality</td>
<td>The duties and practices of people and organisations to ensure that individual's personal information only flows from one entity to another according to legislated or otherwise broadly accepted norms and policies (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent</td>
<td>The act by which a participant, patient or data subject indicates that they permit something to happen to them, or to their data, which would otherwise not be able to happen. Information concerning the data collection process is presented to the subject or the subject’s representative with an opportunity for them to ask questions, after which approval is documented. Consent should be informed (e.g. the individual knows what is going to happen and why) and freely given (without coercion or similar motivation) by someone with capacity, unambiguous and auditable (OECD).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent - Clinical</td>
<td>A patient agrees to undergoing a procedure, including taking part in a trial.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent - Data Protection</td>
<td>A data subject agrees to personal data being processed for specified purposes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent - Research</td>
<td>A participant agrees to take part in a research study or experiment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Tracing</td>
<td>The process of monitoring an individual who has been in close contact with a person infected with a virus, who is at higher risk of becoming infected themselves or potentially further infecting others (WHO).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Vocabulary</td>
<td>A list of standardised terminology, words, or phrases, used for indexing or content analysis and information retrieval, usually in a defined information domain (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright</td>
<td>A legal right created by the law of a country that grants the creator of an original work exclusive rights for its use and distribution. There are also international agreements on copyright, such as the Berne Convention, which ensure global recognition of national copyrights (CASRAI; Wikipedia).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cultural</td>
<td>Allows comparing different cultures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-morbidities</td>
<td>For clinical data, where multiple conditions may present for an individual, this also increases the likelihood of being able to identify that individual.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-national</td>
<td>Allows comparing different countries.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-referencing or Cross-correlation</td>
<td>When data are aggregated or correlated with other data, then the likelihood of being able to identify an individual, especially an outlier increases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Facts, measurements, recordings, records, or observations about the world collected by scientists and others, with a minimum of contextual interpretation (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td>A data lifecycle stage that involves the techniques that produce synthesised knowledge from organised information (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analytics</td>
<td>Describes a collection of data processing methods which use large amounts of data (big data) to derive models and predictions about future behaviours or activity. Data analytics introduce some risk of re-identification, such as cross-referencing or cross-correlation, or co-morbidities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Cleaning</td>
<td>A continuous process that requires corrective actions throughout the data lifecycle (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Completeness</td>
<td>The degree to which all required measures are known. Values may be designated as “missing” in order not to have empty cells, or missing values may be replaced with default or interpolated values. In the case of default or interpolated values, these must be flagged as such to distinguish them from actual measurements or observations (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Curation</td>
<td>A managed process, throughout the data lifecycle, by which data and data collections are cleansed, documented, standardised, formatted and interrelated. This includes versioning data, or forming a new collection from several data sources, annotating with metadata, adding codes to raw data (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Custodian</td>
<td>A data custodian is an IT individual or organisation responsible for the IT infrastructure providing and protecting data in conformance with the policies and practices prescribed by data governance (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Element</td>
<td>A unit of data for which the definition, identification, representation (term used to represent it), and permissible values are specified by means of a set of attributes (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Imputation</td>
<td>The substitution of estimated values for missing or inconsistent data items (fields). The substituted values are intended to create a data record that does not fail edits (<a href="#">OECD</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Integrity</td>
<td>A managed process, throughout the data lifecycle, by which data and data collections are cleansed, documented, standardised, formatted and interrelated. This includes versioning data, or forming a new collection from several data sources, annotating with metadata, adding codes to raw data (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Linkage</td>
<td>Combining datasets through code, two methods are probabilistic and deterministic matching.</td>
<td>Linkage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Management</td>
<td>The activities of data policies, data planning, data element standardisation, information management control, data synchronisation, data sharing, and database development, including practices and projects that acquire, control, protect, deliver and enhance the value of data and information (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Management Infrastructure</td>
<td>An infrastructure used to provide data management and enforce data management policies, including resources such as data management plans, a data repository, an information catalogue, devices or hardware, algorithms or software used to store, retrieve and process data (revised from <a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td>Data Management System Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Management Plan (DMP)</td>
<td>A formal statement describing how research data will be managed and documented throughout a research project and the terms regarding the subsequent deposit of the data with a data repository for long-term management and preservation (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Mining</td>
<td>The process of analysing multivariate datasets using pattern recognition or other knowledge discovery techniques to identify potentially unknown and potentially meaningful data content, relationships, classification, or trends (<a href="#">CASRAI</a>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Model</td>
<td>A model that specifies the structure or schema of a dataset. The model provides a documented description of the data and thus is an instance of metadata. It is a logical, relational data model showing an organised dataset as a collection of tables with entity, attributes and relations (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Preprocessing</td>
<td>Any type of processing performed on raw data to prepare it for another processing procedure. Preprocessing may include: data sampling, data transformation, de-noising, data normalisation, data standardisation, or feature extraction (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Preservation</td>
<td>An activity within archiving and data management in which specific items of data are maintained over time so that they can still be accessed and understood through changes in technology (CASRAI).</td>
<td>Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>A generic concept referring to all kinds of procedures being executed on data at any point in the data life cycle (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Provenance</td>
<td>A type of historical information or metadata about the origin, location or source of the data, or the history of the ownership or location of an object or resource including digital objects (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Quality</td>
<td>The reliability and application efficiency of data. It is a perception or an assessment of dataset’s fitness to serve its purpose in a given context (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Sharing</td>
<td>The practice of making data available for reuse. This may be done, for example, by depositing the data in a repository, through data publication. (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Sharing Agreement</td>
<td>An inter-institutional or intra-institutional agreement to share data according to certain terms and conditions. Data sharing agreements identify the parameters which govern the collection, transmission, storage, security, analysis, re-use, archiving, and destruction of data (University of Waterloo).</td>
<td>Data Transfer Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Standard</td>
<td>A standard is an agreed way of doing something. A standard provides the requirements, specifications, guidelines or characteristics that can be used for the description, interoperability, citation, sharing, publication, or preservation of all kinds of digital objects such as dataset, code, algorithms, workflows, software, or papers (FAIRsharing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Steward</td>
<td>Manages and oversees an organisation’s data assets to provide data users with high quality data that are easily accessible in a consistent manner. While data governance generally focuses on high-level policies and procedures, data stewardship focuses on tactical coordination and implementation (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data that cannot be Re-personalised</td>
<td>Some jurisdictions, such as the EU, recognise a median status for data that remains identifiable by law, but that the controller is not able to reidentify (GDPR Art. 11). For instance, pseudonymised data that the controller does not hold the ‘reidentification key’ to. Controllers still need to safeguard such data, but have more relaxed obligations regarding the rights of the concerned individuals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset</td>
<td>Any organised collection of data in a computational format, defined by a theme or category that reflects what is being measured/observed/monitored.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presentation of the data in the application is enabled through metadata (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-identified data</td>
<td>Refers to data where personal identifiers have been removed (e.g. US HIPAA). However, there is still some risk that such data may lead to re-identification especially if combined with other data. Generally, de-identification refers to the process of reducing data identifiability rather than the identifiability of the resulting data (Phillips and Knoppers, 2016).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td>The action of uploading a digital copy of a work into a digital repository (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Repository</td>
<td>A repository oriented for research output from one or more well defined research domains. All researchers working in certain subject areas can make use of disciplinary repositories – regardless of their affiliation or geographic location (OpenAIRE).</td>
<td>Subject Repository; Domain Repository</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embargo</td>
<td>Submitting data to a repository with the explicit requirement to public data access is delayed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encryption</td>
<td>The process of converting data to an unrecognisable or &quot;encrypted&quot; form. It is commonly used to protect sensitive information so that only authorised parties can view it. This includes files and storage devices, as well as data transferred over wireless networks and the Internet (TechTerms).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>The study (scientific, systematic, and data-driven) of the distribution (frequency, pattern) and determinants (causes, risk factors) of health-related states and events (not just diseases) in specified populations (neighborhood, school, city, state, country, global). It is also the application of this study to the control of health problems (CDC).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIR Principles</td>
<td>A set of guiding principles for scientific data management focused on making data Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable (Wilkinson et al., 2016).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Repository</td>
<td>Data repository that is domain agnostic and accepts all data formats. Generalism Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genomics</td>
<td>The study of all of a person's genes (the genome), including interactions of those genes with each other and with the person's environment (National Human Genome Research Institute).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Disparities</td>
<td>Preventable health differences that are experienced by socially disadvantaged groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>Consent (q.v.) given by a patient, data subject and/or participant as a result of being told the risks and potential benefits of taking part.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Repository</td>
<td>A repository affiliated with a specific institution (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperability</td>
<td>The ability of data or tools from non-cooperating resources to integrate or work together with minimal effort (Wilkinson et al., 2016).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention/treatment</td>
<td>A process or action that is the focus of a clinical study. Interventions include drugs, medical devices, procedures, vaccines, and other products that are</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>either investigational or already available. Interventions can also include noninvasive approaches, such as education or modifying diet and exercise (ClinicalTrials.gov).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervenional Study (Clinical Trial)</td>
<td>A type of clinical study in which participants are assigned to groups that receive one or more intervention/treatment (or no intervention) so that researchers can evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or health-related outcomes. The assignments are determined by the study's protocol. Participants may receive diagnostic, therapeutic, or other types of interventions (ClinicalTrials.gov).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Interoperability</td>
<td>Occurs among two or more datasets when: the legal use conditions are clearly and readily determinable for each of the datasets, typically through automated means; the legal use conditions imposed on each dataset allow creation and use of combined or derivative products; and users may legally access and use each dataset without seeking authorisation from data rights holders on a case-by-case basis, assuming that the accumulated conditions of use for each and all of the datasets are met (RDA-CODATA, 2016).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence</td>
<td>An official document that gives permission to own, do, or use a piece of intellectual property such as a process, product, data, or software. Commonly used licences for open access works include Creative Commons licences. (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipidomics</td>
<td>The study of the structure and function of the complete set of lipids (the lipidome) produced in a given cell or organism as well as their interactions with other lipids, proteins and metabolites. (Nature).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)</td>
<td>A nonbinding written document that states the responsibilities of each party to an agreement, before the official contract is drafted (LegalDictionary.com).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolomics</td>
<td>The large-scale study of small molecules, commonly known as metabolites, within cells, biofluids, tissues or organisms. Collectively, these small molecules and their interactions within a biological system are known as the metabolome (European Bioinformatics Institute).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata</td>
<td>Literally, &quot;data about data&quot;; data that defines and describes the characteristics of other data, used to improve both business and technical understanding of data and data-related processes (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata Schema</td>
<td>A labeling, tagging or coding system used for recording cataloging information or structuring descriptive records. A metadata schema establishes and defines data elements and the rules governing the use of data elements to describe a resource (Zhang &amp; Gourley, 2008).</td>
<td>Metadata Element Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata Semantics</td>
<td>Encompasses controlled vocabularies, taxonomies, thesauri or ontologies and add an interpretive/translational layer (beyond any that might be provided by the syntax), and enable complex hierarchical grouping and querying of the data (FAIRsharing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata Standard</td>
<td>A standard is an agreed way of doing something. A standard provides the requirements, specifications, guidelines or characteristics that can be used for the description, interoperability, citation, sharing, publication, or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata Syntax</td>
<td>Define the representation of information from a conceptual model or schema, and the transmission format, such as XML, CSV or RDF, which facilitate information exchange (FAIRsharing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Design Data</td>
<td>Refers to data that is collected (often through web scraping) from naturally occurring data such as from social network application (also referred to as digital trace data).</td>
<td>Digital Trace Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational Study</td>
<td>A type of clinical study in which participants are identified as belonging to study groups and are assessed for biomedical or health outcomes. Participants may receive diagnostic, therapeutic, or other types of interventions, but the investigator does not assign participants to a specific interventions/treatment. A patient registry is a type of observational study (ClinicalTrials.gov).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omics</td>
<td>High-throughput data from cell and molecular biology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontology</td>
<td>A vocabulary with hierarchies, meaningful relations among concepts, and their constraints that allow classification of data models and data items using the provided terms, concepts, and conceptual structures. Ontologies provide a way of expressing specific domains in a way that enables interoperability based on semantics and logics rather than just formats and agreed metadata (GoFAIR; RDA-CODATA).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Access</td>
<td>Making peer reviewed scholarly content freely available via the Internet (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Access Access</td>
<td>A journal that makes its articles immediately available online to the reader without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. All the articles in the journal are available open access (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Data</td>
<td>Data that can be freely used, re-used and redistributed by anyone - subject only, at most, to the requirement to attribute and share alike (Open Data Handbook).</td>
<td>Free Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Format</td>
<td>A format with a freely available published specification which places no restrictions, monetary or otherwise, upon its use, and can be used and implemented by anyone. For example, an open format can be implemented by both proprietary and free and open-source software, using the typical software licences used by each (revised from Open Definition; Wikipedia).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Government</td>
<td>A governing culture that holds that the public has the right to access the documents and proceedings of government to allow for greater openness, accountability, and engagement (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Science</td>
<td>The practice of science in such a way that others can collaborate and contribute, where research data, lab notes and other research processes are freely available, under terms that enable reuse, redistribution and reproduction of the research and its underlying data and method (FOSTER).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Source</td>
<td>Referring primarily to software, open source products include permission to use the source code, design documents, or content of the product. Distribution terms of open-source software should allow free redistribution, modifications, and derived works, include the source code, and not be restricted by specific product, software or technology (revised from Open Source Initiative).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Registry</td>
<td>A patient registry is a type of observational study (ClinicalTrials.gov).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Identifier (PID)</td>
<td>A long-lasting reference to a digital object that gives information about that object regardless what happens to it. Developed to address “link rot,” a persistent identifier can be resolved to provide an appropriate representation of an object whether that objects changes its online location or goes offline (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personally Identifiable Information (PII)</td>
<td>Any information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual’s identity, such as name, social security number, date and place of birth, mother’s maiden name, or biometric records; and any other information that is linked or linkable to an individual, such as medical, educational, financial, and employment information (University of Pittsburgh).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portability</td>
<td>In computing, the ability of a program to run on different machine architectures with different operating systems (Coltness High School Computing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preprint Publishing</td>
<td>Preliminary version of an article that has not undergone review but that may be shared for comment. Preprints may be considered as grey literature (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>The ability of an individual or group to seclude themselves or information about themselves, and thereby express themselves selectively, the right to be let alone, or freedom from interference or intrusion. Information privacy is the right to have some control over how personal information is collected and used (Wikipedia; IAPP).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary Format</td>
<td>A file format that a company owns and controls. Data in this format may need proprietary software to be read reliably. Unlike an open format, the description of the format may be confidential or unpublished, and can be changed by the company at any time. Proprietary software usually reads and saves data in its own proprietary format (Open Data Handbook).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected Health Information (PHI)</td>
<td>Under the U.S.’s Health Insurance and Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), protected health information (PHI) is considered to be individually identifiable information relating to the past, present, or future health status of an individual that is created, collected, or transmitted, or maintained by a HIPAA-covered entity in relation to the provision of healthcare, payment for healthcare services, or use in healthcare operations. This information is often sought out for de-identification in research publication (HIPAA Journal).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteomics</td>
<td>Proteomics refers to the study of proteomes, but is also used to describe the techniques used to determine the entire set of proteins of an organism or system, such as protein purification and mass spectrometry (Nature).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>The written description of a clinical study. It includes the study's objectives, design, and methods. It may also include relevant scientific background and statistical information (ClinicalTrials.gov).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudonymisation</td>
<td>The processing of personal data in such a way that the data can no longer be attributed to a specific data subject without the use of additional information, as long as such additional information is kept separately and subject to technical and organisational measures to ensure non-attribution to an identified or identifiable individual (GDPR, Article 4(3b)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudonymised Data</td>
<td>Data where personal identifiers have been changed or removed (i.e., personal names and locations obscured). There is a separate key, index, or technological process which links the pseudonymous id code to an individual. The pseudonymisation of data will not reduce the data protection obligations in the data but can be a requirement to the lawful use of data in some jurisdictions and ethical regimes, where practicable (e.g. GDPR).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Surveillance</td>
<td>An ongoing, systematic collection, analysis and interpretation of health-related data essential to the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practice (World Health Organization).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Control (QC)</td>
<td>The operational techniques and activities used in quality management to fulfill requirements for quality (American Society for Quality).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Data</td>
<td>Data that have not been processed for meaningful use. Although raw data have the potential to become “information,” they require selective extraction, organisation, and sometimes analysis and formatting for presentation. As a result of processing, raw data sometimes end up in a database, which enables the data to become accessible for further processing and analysis in a number of different ways (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>README File</td>
<td>Along with a repository licence, contribution guidelines, and a code of conduct, helps communicate expectations for and manage contributions to a project, typically including information on what the project does, why it is useful, how users can get started, where users can get help, and who maintains and contributes to the project (GitHub).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Body</td>
<td>An organisation appointed by the government to establish national standards for qualifications and to ensure consistent compliance with them (NHS).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Access</td>
<td>Ability for an authorised person to access data on a computer or a network from a geographical distance through a network connection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository</td>
<td>a digital archive collecting and displaying datasets and their metadata. A lot of data repositories also accept publications, and allow linking these publications to the underlying data (OpenAIRE).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>Published results that can be replicated using the documented data, code, and methods employed by the author or provider without the need for any additional information or needing to communicate with the author or provider. This can also apply to software and software code (CASRAI).</td>
<td>Reproducible Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Secondary Data     | Secondary data sources are comprised of data originally collected for purposes other than the registry under consideration (e.g., standard medical
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Synonym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care</td>
<td>Data that are collected as primary data for one registry are considered secondary data from the perspective of a second registry if linking was done. These data are often stored in electronic format and may be available for use with appropriate permissions (NCBI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Bias</td>
<td>A sample that is not representative of the population.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Personal Data</td>
<td>Personal data revealing racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs; trade-union membership; genetic data, biometric data processed solely to identify a human being; health-related data; data concerning a person’s sex life or sexual orientation (GDPR Article 4(13), (14) and (15), Article 9).</td>
<td>Special Category Personal Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science</td>
<td>Research on human society and social relationships.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>A set of instructions, data or programs used to operate computers and execute specific tasks. Opposite of hardware, which describes the physical aspects of a computer, software is a generic term used to refer to applications, scripts and programs that run on a device. Software can be thought of as the variable part of a computer, and hardware the invariable part (TechTarget).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>The version of software as it is originally written (i.e., typed into a computer) by a human in plain text (i.e., human readable alphanumeric characters) (Linux Information Project).</td>
<td>Software Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC)</td>
<td>Refers to methods used to reduce the risk of re-identification. They are encouraged when sharing or publishing data, and when publishing research outcomes (Willenborg &amp; de Waal, 2001; Griffiths et al., 2019).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Biology</td>
<td>The study of the molecular structure and dynamics of biological macromolecules, particularly proteins and nucleic acids, and how alterations in their structures affect their function. Structural biology incorporates the principles of molecular biology, biochemistry and biophysics (Nature).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Data</td>
<td>Data whose elements have been organised into a consistent format and data structure within a defined data model such that the elements can be easily addressed, organised and accessed in various combinations to make better use of the information, such as in a relational database (CASRAI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptonomics</td>
<td>The study of the transcriptome—the complete set of RNA transcripts that are produced by the genome, under specific circumstances or in a specific cell—using high-throughput methods, such as microarray analysis (Nature).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustworthy Data Repository (TDR)</td>
<td>A data repository that has been certified, subject to rigorous governance, and committed to longer-term preservation of data holdings.</td>
<td>Trusted Data Repository</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version Control</td>
<td>System for documenting changes made to files that enable earlier versions to be recalled and referenced.</td>
<td>Versioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. Additional Resources

### General resources on Covid-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Resources on data sharing in clinical medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database of publicly and privately funded clinical studies conducted around the world</td>
<td><a href="https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/76705/9789241504294_eng.pdf;jsessionid=49F2FD87378AFCA5B22425655E2D0334?sequence=1">https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/76705/9789241504294_eng.pdf;jsessionid=49F2FD87378AFCA5B22425655E2D0334?sequence=1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.who.int/ictrp/en/">https://www.who.int/ictrp/en/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/">https://clinicaltrials.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.covid19-trials.com/">https://www.covid19-trials.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://covid19.trialstracker.net/about.html">https://covid19.trialstracker.net/about.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.covid-trials.org/">https://www.covid-trials.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.coronaclinicaltrials.com/">https://www.coronaclinicaltrials.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/about-central">https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/about-central</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.ecrin.org/covid-19-trials-registries">https://www.ecrin.org/covid-19-trials-registries</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.nihr.ac.uk/covid-studies/">https://www.nihr.ac.uk/covid-studies/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open-Access Data and Computational Resources to Address COVID-19</strong></td>
<td><a href="https://datascience.nih.gov/covid-19-open-access-resources">https://datascience.nih.gov/covid-19-open-access-resources</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Research on “Sharing and reuse of individual participant data from clinical trials: principles and recommendations”** | [https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/12/e018647](https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/12/e018647)  
[https://vivli.org/](https://vivli.org/) |
| **Infrastructures and Networks** | [https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/strategy/european-research-infrastructures/eric_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/strategy/european-research-infrastructures/eric_en)  
[https://www.ecrin.org/](https://www.ecrin.org/)  
[https://www.eu-stands4pm.eu](https://www.eu-stands4pm.eu) |
| **Regulatory documents** | [http://www.icmra.info/drupal/](http://www.icmra.info/drupal/)  
[http://www.icmra.info/drupal/sites/default/files/2020-04/Summary%20of%20ICMRA%20meeting_Observational%20studies%20and%20RWE.pdf](http://www.icmra.info/drupal/sites/default/files/2020-04/Summary%20of%20ICMRA%20meeting_Observational%20studies%20and%20RWE.pdf)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standardisation of clinical trials and evidence-based approach to</td>
<td><a href="https://www.spirit-statement.org/">https://www.spirit-statement.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical research in COVID-19</td>
<td><a href="http://www.comet-initiative.org/Resources">http://www.comet-initiative.org/Resources</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/">https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://covid19evidence.net.au/">https://covid19evidence.net.au/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care and Clinical Data</td>
<td><a href="https://transmartfoundation.org/covid-19-community-project/">https://transmartfoundation.org/covid-19-community-project/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.covid19healthsystem.org/mainpage.aspx">https://www.covid19healthsystem.org/mainpage.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://isaric.tghn.org/covid-19-clinical-research-resources/">https://isaric.tghn.org/covid-19-clinical-research-resources/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/introduction/">https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/introduction/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://education.aaai.org/sites/default/files/Suggestions%20or%20Considerations%20for%20Resuming%20Practices.pdf">https://education.aaai.org/sites/default/files/Suggestions%20or%20Considerations%20for%20Resuming%20Practices.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.iedb.org/home_v3.php">http://www.iedb.org/home_v3.php</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://immport.org/">https://immport.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://immunespace.org/">https://immunespace.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resources on data sharing in omics practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Omics group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-omics">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-omics</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Resources on data sharing in epidemiology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Epidemiology group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-epidemiology">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-epidemiology</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 detailed Epidemiology supporting document</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15497/rda00049">https://doi.org/10.15497/rda00049</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Resources on data sharing in social sciences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Social Sciences group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-social-sciences">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rda-covid19-social-sciences</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best practices for software and</td>
<td><a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/14Cd1cOS8Cv8HhLEkVyP">https://docs.google.com/document/d/14Cd1cOS8Cv8HhLEkVyP</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/50241/bulletin_1992_70%286%29_699-703.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Community Participation group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-community-participation">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-community-participation</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA-COVID-19 Community participation WG drafting</td>
<td><a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/1FEe2LIFR-D_yGR8Ow3LTvdYWCWo0ppCSYJrMDWFTGio/edit#heading=h.e5qs6lahfe5n">https://docs.google.com/document/d/1FEe2LIFR-D_yGR8Ow3LTvdYWCWo0ppCSYJrMDWFTGio/edit#heading=h.e5qs6lahfe5n</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 WG Guidelines for Data Sharing</td>
<td><a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/1BqHrWfv_Jzr2YbuNaxlKW--4P9mMO1hwicmLqGMLmQ/edit?ts=5e95a561">https://docs.google.com/document/d/1BqHrWfv_Jzr2YbuNaxlKW--4P9mMO1hwicmLqGMLmQ/edit?ts=5e95a561</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resources on research software and data sharing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Software group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-software">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-software</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resources on legal and ethical compliance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the resource</th>
<th>Link to the resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDA COVID-19 Legal and Ethical group</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-legal-ethical">https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/rdacovid19-legal-ethical</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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