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Summary of the Problem

- **Problem Statement**
  - Curators cannot get credit for physical and digital curation activities

- **Impact to (various communities) of the unresolved problem**
  - Collections are under-curated and under-digitised
  - Object provenance is lost
  - Actions may be unnecessarily repeated
Modelling attribution with PROV
Impact of the Recommendation

- **Short term**
  - Partnership with TDWG enhances the Darwin Core standard

- **Long term**
  - Improved recognition of the immense effort required for maintaining, curating, and sharing collections, leading to greater incentivisation of these activities
  - More efficiency in knowledge generation from collections through the proper documentation of corrections and analyses performed
  - Increased viability of crowdsourcing as a model for building collaborative research resources
  - Increased relevance of existing e-infrastructure that is being stifled by the expert annotation bottleneck
Endorsements/ Adopters

- Tier 1
  - Natural History Museum London
  - iDigBio

- Tier 2
  - ImpactStory
  - Altmetric
  - Collector