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PIDs for Research – Why Bother?

• Managing increasing amounts of primary and secondary data on the Net over long periods of time
• Managing increasingly complex data relationships on the Net over long periods of time
• When the attributes of that data such as location(s), responsible parties, and the underlying systems may change dramatically over time
• Science builds on past work and increasingly relies on collaboration within virtual distributed communities
• All of this absolutely requires reliable, long-term persistent references to bind together the distributed data, processes, and parties involved
 PID Considerations – Big Picture

• No lack of unique identifiers in the world – that part is easy
  – Unique identification is NOT a technical challenge (U.S. SS# - 1935)

• Strength in numbers – at this point you would need a very good reason to start yet another PID scheme
  – Smaller independent schemes will be more fragile and vulnerable to a small group moving on in any fashion, i.e., less persistent
  – Reliable well-run systems will tend to grow (nobody gets fired for assigning DOIs?)
  – If there is some aspect of a current widely used scheme that doesn’t work for your case, talk to that community

• What problem are you trying to solve?
  – Don’t start with deciding on a scheme, start with defining the requirements

• Resolution Systems – basic decision point
  – Single authoritative resolution system (≠ single point of failure): DNS, Handle
  – No single authoritative system (but controlled minting): ISBN, SS#
Requirements: Identifier String

- Not based on any changeable attributes of the entity
  - Location
  - Ownership
  - Any other attribute that may change w/o changing data itself
- Opaque, preferably a ‘dumb number’
  - A well known pattern invites assumptions that may be misleading
  - Meaningful semantics invite IP wars, language problems
- Unique
  - Avoid collisions, referential uncertainty
- Nice to have
  - Human-readable
  - Cut-able, paste-able, embeddable
  - Fits common systems, e.g., URI specification
- All of the above contribute to persistence
Requirements: Identifier Resolution System

- **Reliable**
  - Redundant, no single points of failure
  - Fast enough to not appear broken

- **Scalable**
  - Higher loads managed with more computers, not new software

- **Flexible**
  - Adapt to changing computing environments
  - Useful to new applications

- **Trusted**
  - Resolution/Administration must be trusted
  - Organization must be committed to the long term

- **Open Architecture**
  - Leverage efforts of a community in building apps on your infrastructure

- **Transparent**
  - Users knowing the id/infrastructure NOT a good feature

- **Persistence, again**
Using a Resolution System with Existing Identifiers

• No lack of identifiers in the world
• ISBN mapped to DOI
  – Example: 10.97812345/99990
  – The syntax specification, reading from left to right, is:
    • Handle System DOI name prefix = "10."
    • ISBN (GS1) Bookland prefix = "978." or "979."
    • ISBN Publisher prefix = variable length numeric string of 2 to 8 digits
    • Prefix/suffix divider = "/"
    • ISBN Title enumerator and checkdigit = variable length numeric string of 8 to 2 digits
Persistence is (primarily) an Organizational Issue

- No technology runs itself
- Organizations need to commit to persistence
- Organizations need the resources to keep their commitments
  - Size helps
  - Business model needed (profits not required, but funds are)
- Organizations need dedication to persistence
  - Conflicts of interest, e.g., if profit is the motive (not the case in any major system of which I am aware) then lack of profit will be a problem
- Regional organizations will have difficulty growing
  - International organization is best, even with the accompanying political and cultural issues
Persistence is an Organizational Issue (but don’t make it harder than it needs to be)

• Do not bake changeable attributes into the string, such that users and developers operate with mistaken assumptions
  – Ownership if ownership can change
  – Organizational names (count the orgs that are > 100 years old and still have the same name)

• Assume resolution will change over time
  – Usage can and will change
  – Computing/networking environments that seem eternal will change
  – Indirection is a good thing
    • ID string will exist as a static set of bits in various formats while the computing and usage environments shift
    • Disconnect the string from those things that will change
    • New functions will evolve over time – don’t make it difficult to connect the ID to those new functions
    • Best example of the problem – broken URLs
    • An example of a solution – adding functionality to DOIs
      – Going from 1-to-1 to 1-to-Many
      – Adding linked data as a resolution option
Final Word - PID Advantages

• Persistent Identity via Indirection
  – Static references into fluid systems over time
    • Data on networks moves
    • Ownership/responsibility change
    • Formats change
  – Embedded Ids
    • For data object in hand – current state data
      – Updates
      – New related entities
  – Networks of Persistent Links
    • Data / metadata links
    • Provenance chains
    • Inheritance across a broad set of entities
Final Word - PID Disadvantages

• Extra level of effort / cost on creation
  – Analysis – what to identify / granularity
  – Coordination across organizations
  – Maintain resolution system

• Persistence requires sustained effort
  – Organizational discipline
  – Technology necessary but not sufficient

• Analyze cost/benefit ratio
  – Don’t start unless its worthwhile
  – Is your data worth it?