Research Data Management in the Humanities needs to be economical, goal- and demand-oriented

**RDM Measurement** – Development of a quality assurance model for Research Data Management (RDM) in the Humanities

**Initial Situation**
- Heterogeneous research landscape in the Humanities
- Diverse and complex research data and RDM requirements
- RDM structures often follow top-down recommendations or fault-prone suggestive surveys

**Development of an RDM-protocol-template**
- Inductive category building in the sense of a summarizing content analysis
- Building an abstract and structured description model
- **Basis for RDM protocol template**

**Identifying and describing RDM requirement structures**
- Quantitative analysis of RDM protocols
- Aggregation, comparison and reduction of protocols with similar RDM requirements previously identified
- **Abstract characterization and generalization of RDM requirements**

**Modeling of RDM consultation workflows**
- Identifying subsections of workflows ordered by requirements
- Qualitative comparative content analysis of workflow collections
- **Modeling overall workflows for RDM requirements**

**Evaluation**
- Guided expert interviews at generic/domain-specific, institutional/cross-institutional RDM competence centers
- Verification of transferability of developed models to different structural RDM contexts
- **Outlook: Training for data managers/certification for competence centers**

**Objects of investigation**
- 90 semi-structured protocols done by the Data Center for the Humanities (DCH) within consultations from 2015-2020
- Various protocols and documentations of other RDM competence centers that counsel researchers in the Humanities
  - e.g. audio recordings, transcriptions, protocols, e-mails, etc.
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